

# Fixed Points Results for Graphic Contraction on Closed Ball

Aftab Hussain<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Lahore Leads University, Lahore - 54000, Pakistan

\*Corresponding author: [aftabshh@gmail.com](mailto:aftabshh@gmail.com)

Received June 02, 2016; Revised August 22, 2016; Accepted August 30, 2016

**Abstract** In this paper, we introduce a new class of circ fixed point theorem of  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contractive mappings on a closed ball in complete metric space. As an application, we have derived some new fixed point theorems for circ  $\psi$ -graphic contractions defined on a metric space endowed with a graph in metric space. Our results provide extension as well as substantial generalizations and improvements of several well known results in the existing comparable literature.

**Keywords:** fixed point,  $\alpha$ -admissible,  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contraction, closed ball

**Cite This Article:** Aftab Hussain, "Fixed Points Results for Graphic Contraction on Closed Ball." *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, vol. 4, no. 4 (2016): 93-97. doi: 10.12691/tjant-4-4-2.

## 1. Introduction

In 2012, Samet et al. [18], introduced a concept of  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and established various fixed point theorems for mappings in complete metric spaces. Afterwards Karapinar and Samet [6], refined the notions and obtain various fixed point results. Hussain et al. [9], enlarged the concept of  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings and obtained useful fixed point theorems. Subsequently, Abdeljawad [4] introduced pairs of  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings satisfying new sufficient contractive conditions different from [9] and [18], and proved fixed point and common fixed point theorems. Lately, Salimi et al. [17], modified the concept of  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mappings and established fixed point results. Mohammadi et al. [7] introduced a new notion of  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mappings and show that this is a real generalization for some old results. Arshad et al. [2] established fixed point results of a pair of contractive dominated mappings on a closed ball in an ordered complete dislocated metric space. Hussain et al. [8], introduced the concept of an  $\alpha$ -admissible map with respect to  $\eta$  and modify the  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive condition for a pair of mappings and established common fixed point results for two, three, and four mappings in a closed ball in complete dislocated metric spaces. Over the years, fixed point theory has been generalized in multi-directions by several mathematicians (see [1-18]).

Let  $\Psi$  be a family of nondecreasing functions  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \psi^n(t) < +\infty$ , for each  $t > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.** ([17]). If  $\psi \in \Psi$ , then  $\psi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 2.** ([18]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contractive mapping

if there exist two functions  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$  such that

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 3.** ([18]). Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . We say that  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible if  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$  implies that  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $X = (0, \infty)$  and  $T$  an identity mapping on  $X$ . Define  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{e^x} & \text{if } x \geq y, x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Then  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible.

**Definition 5.** ([17]). Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  two functions. We say that  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$  if  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  implies that  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty)$ .

If  $\eta(x, y) = 1$ , then above definition reduces to definition 3. If  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ , then  $T$  is called an  $\eta$ -subadmissible mapping.

**Definition 6.** ([7]). Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\alpha_0 : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\alpha_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We say that  $T$  is  $\alpha_0$ -admissible. If  $\alpha_0(x, y) \geq 1$ , then  $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$  and so  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty)$ . This implies  $\alpha_0(Tx, Ty) = 1$ . Also  $\alpha_0(x_0, Tx_0) = 1$ .

## 2. Main Results

We prove circ fixed point results for  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contraction mappings on a closed ball in complete metric space.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ . For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) &\geq \eta(x, y) \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \psi(M(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \\ &\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{2}$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq \eta(x_n, u)$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_1$  in  $X$  be such that  $x_1 = Tx_0$ ,  $x_2 = Tx_1$ . Continuing this process, we construct a sequence  $x_n$  of points in  $X$  such that,  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ . By assumption  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq \eta(x_0, x_1)$  and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ . we have,  $\alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) \geq \eta(Tx_0, Tx_1)$  from which we deduce that  $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq \eta(x_1, x_2)$  which also implies that  $\alpha(Tx_1, Tx_2) \geq \eta(Tx_1, Tx_2)$ . Continuing in this way we obtain  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ . First, we show that  $x_n \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  for all  $n \in N$ . Using inequality (2), we have,

$$d(x_0, Tx_0) \leq r.$$

It follows that,

$$x_1 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}.$$

Let  $x_2, \dots, x_j \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  for some  $j \in N$ . Using inequality (1), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) &= d(Tx_{i-1}, Tx_i) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_{i-1}, x_i)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{i-1}, x_i) &= \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x_{i-1}, x_i), d(x_i, x_{i+1}), \\ &\frac{d(x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x_{i-1}, x_i), d(x_i, x_{i+1}), \\ &\frac{d(x_{i-1}, x_i) + d(x_i, x_{i+1})}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$M(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq \max\{d(x_{i-1}, x_i), d(x_i, x_{i+1})\}. \tag{3}$$

the case  $M(x_{i-1}, x_i) = d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  is impossible

$$d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \psi(d(x_i, x_{i+1})) < d(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Which is a contradiction. Otherwise, in other case  $M(x_{i-1}, x_i) = d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) &\leq \psi(d(x_{i-1}, x_i)) \leq \psi^2(d(x_{i-2}, x_{i-1})) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \psi^i(d(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have,

$$d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \psi^i(d(x_0, x_1)). \tag{4}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, x_{j+1}) &\leq d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) \\ &\quad + d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d(x_j, x_{j+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x_{j+1} \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ . Hence  $x_n \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  for all  $n \in N$ . Now inequality (3.4) can be written as

$$d_l(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \psi^n(d(x_0, x_1)), \text{ for all } n \in N. \tag{5}$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $N \in N$  such that  $n \geq N \Rightarrow \psi^n(d_l(x_0, x_1)) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $m, n \in N$  with  $m > n > N$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \psi^k(d_l(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq N} \psi^k(d_l(x_0, x_1)) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, d)$ . As every closed ball in a complete metric space is complete, so there exists  $x^* \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ . Also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x^*) = 0. \tag{6}$$

So by given assumption from (ii), we have  $\alpha(x_n, x^*) \geq \eta(x_n, x^*)$ , for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ . Now from (1), we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \leq \psi(M(x_n, x^*)). \tag{7}$$

where

$$M(x_n, x^*) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(x_n, x^*), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, Tx^*), \\ & \frac{d(x_n, Tx^*) + d(x^*, x_{n+1})}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

If  $d(x^*, Tx^*) \neq 0$ , then  $M(x_n, x^*) > 0$  for every  $n$ . Thus

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \leq \psi \left( M(x_n, x^*) \right) < M(x_n, x^*). \tag{8}$$

which on taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Tx^*) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x^*) = d(x^*, Tx^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ . The result follows.

**Example 8.** Let  $X = [0, \infty]$  with metric on  $X$  defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by,

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x/4 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ x - \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Consider  $x_0 = 1, r = 2, \psi(t) = \frac{t}{3}$  and

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Now  $\overline{B(x_0, r)} = [0, 1]$ . then

$$d(x_0, Tx_0) = d(1, T1) = d(1, \frac{1}{4}) = \left| 1 - \frac{1}{4} \right| = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{3^i} < \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) = \frac{9}{8} < 2$$

Also if  $x, y \in (1, \infty)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |3x - 3y| &> |x - y| \\ |x - y| &> \frac{|x - y|}{3} \\ \left| x - \frac{1}{4} - (y - \frac{1}{4}) \right| &> \psi(|x - y|) \\ d(Tx, Ty) &> \psi(d(x, y)) \\ d(Tx, Ty) &> \psi(M(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

Then the contractive condition does not hold on  $X$ .

Also if,  $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{3x}{4} - \frac{3y}{4} \right| &\leq |x - y| \\ \left| \frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4} \right| &\leq \frac{|x - y|}{3} \\ \frac{1}{4}|x - y| &\leq \psi(|x - y|) \\ d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \psi(d(x, y)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\eta(x, y) = 1$  in the Theorem 7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 9.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. For  $r > 0, x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) &\geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \psi(M(x, y)). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \\ & \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{10}$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

If  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$  in the Theorem 7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 10.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\eta$ -subadmissible mapping. For  $r > 0, x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \eta(x, y) &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \psi(M(x, y)). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \\ & \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{12}$$

If following assertions hold:

- $\eta(x_0, Tx_0) \leq 1$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\eta(x_n, u) \leq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

**Corollary 11.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ . For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(N(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$N(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)}{2} \\ &\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{14}$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq \eta(x_n, u)$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

If  $\eta(x, y) = 1$  in the corollary 11, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 12.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(N(x, y)) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$N(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)}{2} \\ &\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{16}$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

If  $N(x, y) = \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)}{2}$  in the corollary 11, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 13.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(N(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{18}$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

If  $N(x, y) = \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2}$  in the corollary 11, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 14.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(N(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \tag{20}$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

If  $N(x, y) = d(x, y)$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 15.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping with respect to  $\eta$ . For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \quad (22)$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq \eta(x_n, u)$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

If  $\eta(x, y) = 1$ ,  $N(x, y) = d(x, y)$  in the corollary 11, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 16.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. For  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, \alpha(x, y) &\geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \psi(d(x, y)) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r, \text{ for all } j \in N. \quad (24)$$

Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  then  $\alpha(x_n, u) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ .

Then, there exists a point  $x^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

### 3. Fixed Point Results for Graphic Contractions

Consistent with Jachymski [13], let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\Delta$  denotes the diagonal of the Cartesian product  $X \times X$ . Consider a directed graph  $G$  such that the set  $V(G)$  of its vertices coincides with  $X$ , and the set  $E(G)$  of its edges contains all loops, i.e.,  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ . We assume  $G$  has no parallel edges, so we can identify  $G$  with the pair  $(V(G), E(G))$ . Moreover, we may treat  $G$  as a weighted graph (see [13]) by assigning to each edge the distance between its vertices. If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices in a graph  $G$ , then a path in  $G$  from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $m$  ( $m \in N$ ) is a sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$  of  $m+1$  vertices such that  $x_0 = x, x_m = y$  and  $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in E(G)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . A graph  $G$  is connected if there is a path between any two vertices.  $G$  is weakly connected if  $\tilde{G}$  is connected (see for details [1,5,12,13]).

**Definition 17.** ([13]). We say that a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a Banach  $G$ -contraction or simply  $G$ -contraction if  $T$  preserves edges of  $G$ , i.e.,

$$\forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$$

and  $T$  decreases weights of edges of  $G$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists k \in (0, 1), \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E(G) \\ \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we extend concept of  $G$ -contraction as follows.

**Definition 18.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be self-mappings. Assume that for  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , following conditions hold,

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, (x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$$

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, (x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(M(x, y)).$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \\ &\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

Then the mappings  $T$  is called circic  $\psi$ -graphic contractive mappings. If  $\psi(t) = kt$  for some  $k \in [0, 1)$ , then we say  $T$  is  $G$ -contractive mappings.

**Definition 19.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be self-mappings. Assume that for  $r > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , following conditions hold,

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, (x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$$

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}, (x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(N(x, y)).$$

where

$$N(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)}{2}, \\ &\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Then the mappings  $T$  is called circic  $\psi$ -graphic contractive mappings. If  $\psi(t) = kt$  for some  $k \in [0, 1)$ , then we say  $T$  is  $G$ -contractive mappings.

**Theorem 20.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be circic  $\psi$ -graphic contractive mappings and  $x_0 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ . Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^j \psi^i(d(x_0, Tx_0)) \leq r$  for all  $j \in N$ ;
- if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Define,  $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in E(G) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

First we prove that the mapping  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible. Let  $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ , then  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ . As  $T$  is circic  $\psi$ -graphic contractive mappings, we have,  $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$ . That is,  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$ . Thus  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping. From (i) there exists  $x_0$  such that  $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ . That is,  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ . If  $x, y \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ , then  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ . Now, since  $T$ , is circic  $\psi$ -graphic contractive mapping, so  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(M(x, y))$ . That is,

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(M(x, y)).$$

Let  $\{x_n\} \subset \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in N$ . Then,  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . So by (ii) we have,  $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$ . That is,  $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ . Hence, all conditions of Corollary 9 are satisfied and  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Corollary 21.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $T$  is Banach  $G$ -contraction on  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ ;
- $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$  and  $d(x_0, Tx_0) \leq (1-k)r$ ;
- if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Corollary 22.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Suppose that the following assertions hold:

- $T$  is Banach  $G$ -contraction on  $X$  and there is  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ ;
- if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in N$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- [1] M. Abbas and T. Nazir, Common fixed point of a power graphic contraction pair in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013, 2013:20.
- [2] M. Arshad, A. Shoaib, I. Beg, Fixed point of a pair of contractive dominated mappings on a closed ball in an ordered complete dislocated metric space, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. (2013), 2013:115, 15 pp.
- [3] M. Arshad, Fahimuddin, A. Shoaib and A. Hussain, Fixed point results for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -locally graphic contraction in dislocated quasi metric spaces, Mathematical Sciences, In press.
- [4] T. Abdeljawad, Meir-Keeler  $\alpha$ -contractive fixed and common fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. 2013.
- [5] F. Bojor, Fixed point theorems for Reich type contraction on metric spaces with a graph, Nonlinear Anal., 75 (2012) 3895-3901.
- [6] E. Karapinar and B. Samet, Generalized  $(\alpha$ - $\psi)$  contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2012) Article id: 793486.
- [7] B. Mohammadi and Sh. Rezapour, On Modified  $\alpha$ - $\phi$ -Contractions, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 6 (2) (2013), 162-166.
- [8] N. Hussain, M. Arshad, A. Shoaib and Fahimuddin, Common fixed point results for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractions on a metric space endowed with graph, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014:136.
- [9] N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi and F. Akbar,  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems, J. Inequal. Appl. 114 2013 1-11.
- [10] N. Hussain, P Salimi and A. Latif, Fixed point results for single and set-valued  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2013, 2013:212.
- [11] N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi, P. Vetro, Fixed point results for  $G^m$ -Meir-Keeler contractive and  $G$ - $(\alpha, \psi)$ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013, 2013:34.
- [12] N. Hussain, S. Al-Mezel and P. Salimi, Fixed points for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -graphic contractions with application to integral equations, Abstr. Appl. Anal., Article 575869, 2013.
- [13] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1 (136) (2008) 1359-1373.
- [14] M. A. Kutbi, M. Arshad and A. Hussain, On Modified  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -Contractive mappings, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2014) Article ID 657858, 7 pages.
- [15] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (1977), 257-290.
- [16] B. E. Rhoades, Two fixed-point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 63 (2003) 4007-4013.
- [17] P. Salimi, A. Latif and N. Hussain, Modified  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Contractive mappings with applications, Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2013) 2013:151.
- [18] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012) 2154-2165.