

On Interval Valued Generalized Difference Classes Defined by Orlicz Function

Ayhan Esi¹, Bipan Hazarika^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Art, Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Arunachal Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author: bh_rgu@yahoo.co.in

Received October 01, 2013; Revised November 04, 2013; Accepted November 11, 2013

Abstract In this paper, using the difference operator and Orlicz functions, we introduce and examine some generalized difference sequence spaces of interval numbers. We prove completeness properties of these spaces. Further, we investigate some inclusion relations related to these spaces.

Keywords: sequence space, interval numbers, difference sequence, completeness

Cite This Article: Ayhan Esi, and Bipan Hazarika, "On Interval Valued Generalized Difference Classes Defined by Orlicz Function." *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory* 1, no. 1 (2013): 48-53. doi: 10.12691/tjant-1-1-10.

1. Introduction

The work of interval arithmetic was originally introduced by Dwyer [3] in 1951. The development of interval arithmetic as a formal system and evidence of its value as a computational device was provided by Moore [15] and Moore and Yang [16]. Furthermore, Moore and others [3]; [4]; [10] and [17] have developed applications to differential equations. Chiao in [2] introduced sequence of interval numbers and defined usual convergence of sequences of interval numbers. Şengönül and Eryilmaz in [20] introduced and studied bounded and convergent sequence spaces of interval numbers. Recently Esi studied strongly λ - and strongly almost λ -convergent sequences spaces of the interval numbers in [5], respectively. Also, Esi studied some new type sequence space of the interval numbers in [6,7] and lacunary sequence spaces for interval numbers in [8]. In Hazarika [11] introduced the notion of λ -ideal convergent interval valued difference classes defined by Musielak-Orlicz function.

Kizmaz [12] introduced the notion of difference sequence spaces as follows:

$$X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in X\}$$

for $X = l_\infty$, c and c_0 . Later on, the notion was generalized by Et and Çolak [9] as follows:

$$X(\Delta^n) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta^n x_k) \in X\}$$

for $X = l_\infty$, c and c_0 , where $\Delta^n x = (\Delta^n x_k) = (\Delta^{n-1} x_k - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1})$, $\Delta^0 x = x$ and also this generalized difference notion has the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^n x_k = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} x_{k+i} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall in [18], [13] that an Orlicz function M is continuous, convex, non-decreasing function define for $x > 0$ such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If convexity of Orlicz function is replaced by $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ then this function is called the modulus function and characterized by Ruckle [19]. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition for all values u , if there exists $K > 0$ such that $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$, $u \geq 0$. Subsequently, the notion of Orlicz function was used to defined sequence spaces by Altin et. al., [1], Tripathy and Mahanta [21], Tripathy et. al., [22], Tripathy and Sarma [23] and many others.

2. Preliminaries

A set consisting of a closed interval of real numbers $\bar{x}$ such that $a \leq x \leq b$ is called an interval number. A real interval can also be considered as a set. Thus we can investigate some properties of interval numbers, for instance arithmetic properties or analysis properties. We denote the set of all real valued closed intervals by IR . Any elements of IR is called closed interval and denoted by $\bar{x}$. That is $\bar{x} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq b\}$. An interval number $\bar{x}$ is a closed subset of real numbers (see [2]). Let x_l and x_r be first and last points of the interval number $\bar{x}$, respectively. For $\bar{x} = [x_{1l}, x_{1r}]$, $\bar{y} = [x_{2l}, x_{2r}] \in IR$, we have

$$\bar{x} = \bar{y} \Leftrightarrow x_{1l} = x_{2l}, x_{1r} = x_{2r},$$

$$\bar{x} + \bar{y} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x_{1l} + x_{2l} \leq x \leq x_{1r} + x_{2r}\},$$

and if $\alpha \geq 0$, then

$$\alpha \bar{x} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha x_{1l} \leq x \leq \alpha x_{1r}\}$$

and if $\alpha < 0$, then

$$\alpha \bar{x} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha x_{1r} \leq x \leq \alpha x_{1l}\},$$

$$\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \min \{x_{1l} \cdot x_{2l}, x_{1l} \cdot x_{2r}, x_{1r} \cdot x_{2l}, x_{1r} \cdot x_{2r}\} \leq x \leq \max \{x_{1l} \cdot x_{2l}, x_{1l} \cdot x_{2r}, x_{1r} \cdot x_{2l}, x_{1r} \cdot x_{2r}\} \right\}.$$

The set of all interval numbers IR is a complete metric space under the metric d defined by

$$d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \max \{|x_{1l} - x_{2l}|, |x_{1r} - x_{2r}|\} \quad [15].$$

In the special case $\bar{x} = [a, a]$ and $\bar{y} = [b, b]$, we obtain usual metric of $\mathbb{R}$.

Let us define transformation $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $k \rightarrow f(k) = \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$. Then $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ is called sequence of interval numbers and $\bar{x}_k$ is called k^{th} term of the interval numbers sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$. The set of all sequences of the interval numbers denoted by $\bar{w}$ cf. [2].

A sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ of interval numbers is said to be convergent to the interval number $\bar{x}_0$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $d(\bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0) < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$ and we denote it by $\lim_k \bar{x}_k = \bar{x}_0$.

Thus, $\lim_k \bar{x}_k = \bar{x}_0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_k x_{k_l} = x_{0_l}$ and $\lim_k x_{k_r} = x_{0_r}$ [2].

A sequence space $\bar{E}$ is said to be *solid (or normal)* if $\alpha \bar{x} = (\alpha_k \bar{x}_k) \in \bar{E}$ whenever $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{E}$ for all sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$ of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence space $\bar{E}$ is said to be *symmetric* if $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{E}$ implies $(\bar{x}_{(\pi)k}) \in \bar{E}$ where π is a permutation of $\mathbb{N}$.

A sequence space $\bar{E}$ is said to be *monotone* if $\bar{E}$ contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

Let $K = (k_1 < k_2 < \dots) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{E}$ be a sequence space. A K -step set of $\bar{E}$ is a class of sequences $\lambda_K^{\bar{E}} = \{(\bar{x}_{k_n}) \in \bar{E} : (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{E}\}$. A canonical pre-image of a sequence $(\bar{x}_{k_n}) \in \lambda_K^{\bar{E}}$ is a sequence $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \in \bar{E}$ defined as follows:

$$\bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_k, & \text{if } k \in K; \\ \bar{0}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A canonical pre-image of a step set $\lambda_K^{\bar{E}}$ is a set of canonical pre-images of all elements in $\lambda_K^{\bar{E}}$, i.e. $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k)$ is in canonical pre-image $\lambda_K^{\bar{E}}$ if and only $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k)$ is canonical pre-image of some $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \lambda_K^{\bar{E}}$.

A sequence space $\bar{E}$ is said to be *sequence algebra* if $\bar{x} \otimes \bar{y} = (\bar{x}_k \otimes \bar{y}_k) \in \bar{E}$ whenever $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k), \bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \in \bar{E}$.

A sequence space $\bar{E}$ is said to be *convergence free* if $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \in \bar{E}$ whenever $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{E}$ and $\bar{y}_k = 0$ whenever $\bar{x}_k = \bar{0}$, where $\bar{0} = [0, 0]$ is the zero element.

Remark 2.1. A sequence space $\bar{E}$ is solid implies $\bar{E}$ is monotone.

3. Main Results

In this paper we introduce and examine some generalized difference sequences of interval numbers using the Orlicz functions.

Definition 3.1. Let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ be a sequence of interval numbers and M be an Orlicz function. We define the following sequence spaces:

$$\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M) = \left\{ \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0)}{r} \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } r > 0 \text{ and } \bar{x}_0 \in IR \right\},$$

$$\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M) = \left\{ \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{0})}{r} \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

$$\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M) = \left\{ \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) : \sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{0})}{r} \right) < \infty, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\Delta^n \bar{x}_k = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \bar{x}_{k+i}.$$

Throughout the paper, X will denote any one of the notation $\bar{c}, \bar{c}_0$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty$.

Theorem 3.1. $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ are complete metric spaces with the metric

$$\rho(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^n d(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) \\ + \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \Delta^n \bar{y}_k)}{r} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $(\bar{x}_k^s)$ be any Cauchy sequence in $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ where $\bar{x}^s = (\bar{x}_k^s) = (\bar{x}_1^s, \bar{x}_1^s, \dots, \bar{x}_k^s, \dots) \in \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for given $\varepsilon > 0$. For a fixed $X_0 > 0$ and choose $a > 0$ such that $M\left(\frac{aX_0}{2}\right) \geq 1$.

Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\rho(\bar{x}^s, \bar{x}^t) = \sum_{k=1}^n d(\bar{x}_k^s, \bar{x}_k^t) + \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k^t)}{r} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{aX_0}, \quad (3.1)$$

for $s, t \geq n_0$.

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(\bar{x}_k^s, \bar{x}_k^t) < \varepsilon, \text{ for } s, t \geq n_0.$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\bar{x}_k^s, \bar{x}_k^t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \text{ for } s, t \geq n_0.$$

Then $(\bar{x}_k^s)$ is a Cauchy sequence in IR and so $(\bar{x}_k^s)$ is a convergent sequence in IR . Let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_k^t = \bar{x}_k$. Again from (3.1)

$$\sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k^t)}{r} \right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } s, t \geq n_0.$$

$$M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k^t)}{\rho(\bar{x}^s, \bar{x}^t)} \right) \leq 1 \leq M \left(\frac{aM_0}{2} \right)$$

for $s, t \geq n_0$, and $k \in N$.

$$d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k^t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for } s, t \geq n_0.$$

Hence $(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s)$ is a Cauchy sequence in IR for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s)$ is a convergent sequence in IR for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^n \bar{x}_k^t = \bar{x}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $k=1$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^n \bar{x}_1^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \bar{x}_{1+i}^t = \bar{x}_1.$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^n \bar{x}_k^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \bar{x}_{k+i}^t = \bar{x}_k$$

for all $k=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_{1+k}^t$ exists. Let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_{1+k}^t = \bar{x}_{1+k}$. Proceeding in this way inductively we conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}_k^t = \bar{x}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using continuity of M , we have

$$\sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k)}{r} \right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } s \geq n_0.$$

$$\inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k)}{r} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \varepsilon, \text{ for } s \geq n_0.$$

Thus for all $s \geq n_0$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d(\bar{x}_k^s, \bar{x}_k) + \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k^s, \Delta^n \bar{x}_k)}{r} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < 2\varepsilon.$$

That is

$$\rho(\bar{x}^s, \bar{x}) < 2\varepsilon, \text{ i.e., } \bar{x}^s \rightarrow \bar{x} \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the inequality

$$\rho(\bar{x}, \bar{0}) \leq \rho(\bar{x}, \bar{x}^s) + \rho(\bar{x}^s, \bar{0}), \text{ for } s \geq n_0$$

implies that $x \in \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. The classes of interval numbers of sequences $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ are nowhere dense subsets of $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.1. we have $\bar{c}_0(\Delta)$ and $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ are closed subsets of the complete metric space $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$. Also $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ are proper subsets which follows from the following example.

Example 3.1. Let $n=1$ and $M(x)=x$. Consider the interval sequence $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k)$ defined as follows:

$$\bar{x}_k = \begin{cases} [0, 1] & \text{for } k \text{ even;} \\ \left[-\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right), -1 \right] & \text{for } k \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta \bar{x}_k = \begin{cases} \left[1, 2 + \frac{1}{k+1} \right] & \text{for } k \text{ even;} \\ \left[-\left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right), -1 \right] & \text{for } k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $(\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M) \supset \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ but $(\bar{x}_k) \notin \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$. Hence the result.

Theorem 3.3. $X(\Delta^{n-1}, M) \subset X(\Delta^n, M)$ for $X = \bar{c}, \bar{c}_0, \bar{\ell}_\infty$ and the inclusions are strict.

Proof. We give the proof for the inequality $\bar{c}(\Delta^{n-1}, M) \subset \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ only. The rest of the results follows similar way. Let $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}(\Delta^{n-1}, M)$. Then for some $r > 0$, we have

$$\lim_k M \left(\frac{d(\Delta^{n-1} \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0)}{r} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \bar{x}_0 \in IR. \quad (3.2)$$

Since

$$\Delta^n \bar{x}_k = \Delta^{n-1} \bar{x}_k - \Delta^{n-1} \bar{x}_{k+1} + \bar{x} - \bar{x}.$$

Then from the equation (3.2) and the continuity of M , the result follows from the following relation

$$M\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} M\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^{n-1} \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) + \frac{1}{2} M\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^{n-1} \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right).$$

This shows that $(\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$.

To show that the inclusions are strict, consider the following examples.

Example 3.2. Let $M(x) = x$ and $n = 1$. Consider the sequence of interval numbers $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ defined by

$$\bar{x}_k = \left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{k}\right] \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

i.e. $\bar{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{1}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta \bar{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \notin \bar{c}_0$, but $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}_0(\Delta)$. Hence the inclusion is strict.

Example 3.3. Let $M(x) = x$ and $n = 1$. Consider the sequence of interval numbers $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ defined by

$$\bar{x}_k = [k, k+1] \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\Delta \bar{x}_k = -1$. Thus $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = \bar{c}(\Delta^n)$. Hence the inclusion is strict.

Theorem 3.4. Let M_1 and M_2 be two Orlicz functions. Then

- (i) $X(\Delta^n, M_2) \subset X(\Delta^n, M_1 \cdot M_2)$
- (ii) $X(\Delta^n, M_1) \cap X(\Delta^n, M_2) \subset X(\Delta^n, M_1 + M_2)$,
for $X = \bar{c}, \bar{c}_0, \bar{\ell}_\infty$

Proof. (i) We prove the result for $X = \bar{c}$ and the rest of the cases will follow similarly. Let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M_2)$. Then for $r > 0$ we have

$$\lim_k M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) = 0 \text{ for some } \bar{x}_0 \in IR. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $M_1(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 < t < \delta$. We write

$$D_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \leq \delta \right\},$$

$$D_2 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) > \delta \right\}.$$

Then for

$$M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) > \delta$$

we have

$$M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) < M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \delta^{-1} < 1 + \left[M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \delta^{-1} \right]$$

where $k \in D_2$ and $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a . Given $\varepsilon > 0$ by the definition of Orlicz function M for

$$M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) > \delta \text{ we have}$$

$$M_1\left(M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right)\right) \leq \left(1 + \left[M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \delta^{-1} \right]\right) M_1(1) \leq 2M_1(1) \left(M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \delta^{-1} \right) < \varepsilon$$

for $k \in D_2$ and $k \geq n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, using (3.3).

Again for

$$M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right) \leq \delta$$

we have

$$M_1\left(M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right)\right) < \varepsilon,$$

for $k \in D_1$ and $k \geq n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, using (3.3).

Thus for $k > \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ we have

$$M_1\left(M_2\left(\frac{d\left(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right)}{r}\right)\right) \delta^{-1} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M_1 \cdot M_2)$. Thus

$$\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M_2) \subset \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M_1 \cdot M_2).$$

(ii) It will follows from the following inequality

$$(M_1 + M_2) \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0)}{r} \right) \leq M_1 \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0)}{r} \right) + M_2 \left(\frac{d(\Delta^n \bar{x}_k, \bar{A}_0)}{r} \right).$$

The proof of the following result is also routine work.

Theorem 3.5. Let M_1 and M_2 be two Orlicz functions satisfying Δ_2 -condition. If $\beta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_2(t)}{t} \geq 1$, then $X(\Delta^n, M_1) = X(\Delta^n, M_1 \cdot M_2)$, where $X = \bar{c}, \bar{c}_0, \bar{\ell}_\infty$.

Theorem 3.6. The classes of sequences of interval numbers $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ are not sequence algebra in general.

Proof. The result follows from the following example.

Example 3.4. Let $n=1$ and $M(x) = x$. Consider the two sequences of interval numbers $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$, $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k)$ defined by

$$\bar{x}_k = [k-1, k+1], \bar{y}_k = [k-1, k].$$

Therefore for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\Delta \bar{x}_k = -1, \Delta \bar{y}_k = -1.$$

Thus $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k), \bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \in \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M) \subset \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{x}_k \otimes \bar{y}_k) &= [(k-1)^2, k(k+1)] - [k^2, (k+1)(k+2)] \\ &= [-(k+1), k], \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $(\bar{x}_k \otimes \bar{y}_k) \notin \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M) \cap \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.7. The classes of interval numbers of sequences $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M), \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ are not convergence free.

Proof. Let $n=1$ and $M(x) = x$. Consider the interval sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ defined as follows:

$$\bar{x}_k = \begin{cases} \bar{0} = [0, 0], & \text{for } k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \left[0, \frac{1}{k}\right] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta \bar{x}_k = \begin{cases} \left[-\frac{1}{k+1}, 0\right], & \text{for } k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \left[0, \frac{1}{k}\right] & \text{for } k = i^2, i > 1, i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\Delta \bar{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M) \subset \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M) \subset \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$. Let $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k)$ defined as follows:

$$\bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \bar{0} = [0, 0], & \text{for } k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ [0, k] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta \bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} [-(k+1), 0], & \text{for } k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ [0, k], & \text{for } k = i^2, i > 1, i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ [-(k+1), k], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \notin \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M) \cap \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M) \cap \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$.

Therefore the classes of interval numbers $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M), \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ are not convergence free.

Theorem 3.8. The classes of interval numbers $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M), \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ are neither monotone nor solid.

Proof. Let $n=1$ and $M(x) = x$. Consider the interval sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ defined by:

$$\bar{x}_k = \left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{k}\right] \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\Delta \bar{x}_k = \left[0, \frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right] \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

i.e. $\Delta \bar{x}_k \rightarrow \bar{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M) \subset \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M).$$

Let $J = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k = 2i-1, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and let $\overline{\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)}_J$ be the canonical pre-image of the J -step set $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)_J$ of $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$, defined as follows:

$\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \in \overline{\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)}_J$ is the canonical pre-image of $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ implies

$$\bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_k & \text{for } k \in J; \\ \bar{0} & \text{for } k \notin J \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{k}\right] & \text{for } k \in J; \\ \bar{0} & \text{for } k \notin J \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta \bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{k}\right] & \text{for } k \in J; \\ \left[-\left(1 + \frac{1}{k+1}\right), -1\right] & \text{for } k \notin J \end{cases}$$

Thus $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \notin \bar{c}(\Delta^n, M) \cap \bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$. Therefore the classes of interval numbers $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$ and

$\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ are not monotone. By the Remark 2.1, these spaces are not solid.

Now let's define the sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ by

$$\bar{x}_k = [k-1, k+1] \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $\Delta \bar{x}_k = -\bar{1}$, thus $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$.

Let $J = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k = 2i-1, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and let $\overline{\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)}_J$ be the canonical pre-image of the J -step set $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)_J$ of $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$, defined as follows:

$\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \in \overline{\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)}_J$ is the canonical pre-image of $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ implies

$$\bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_k, & \text{for } k \in J; \\ \bar{0} & \text{for } k \notin J. \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} [k-1, k+1] & \text{for } k \in J; \\ \bar{0} & \text{for } k \notin J \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta \bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} [k-1, k+1] & \text{for } k \in J; \\ [-(k+2), -(k+1)] & \text{for } k \notin J. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \notin \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ is not monotone. By the Remark 2.1, this space is not solid.

Theorem 3.9. *The classes of interval numbers $\bar{c}(\Delta^n, M)$, $\bar{c}_0(\Delta^n, M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ are not symmetric.*

Proof. The result follows from the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $n=1$ and $M(x) = x$. Consider the interval sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ defined by

$$\bar{x}_k = \left[k, k + \frac{1}{2} \right] \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $\Delta \bar{x}_k = -\bar{1}$.

Thus $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$. Let the sequence of interval numbers $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k)$ be a rearrangement of the sequence of interval numbers $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ defined as follows:

$$\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_4, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_9, \bar{x}_5, \bar{x}_{16}, \\ \bar{x}_6, \bar{x}_{25}, \bar{x}_7, \bar{x}_{36}, \bar{x}_8, \bar{x}_{49}, \dots \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$\Delta \bar{y}_k = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_{\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^2}, & \text{for all } k \text{ odd}; \\ \bar{x}_{\left(m+\frac{k}{2}\right)}, & \text{for all } k \text{ even and} \\ m \text{ satisfies } m(m-1) < k \leq m(m+1). \end{cases}$$

Then for all k odd and $m \in \mathbb{N}$; satisfying

$$m(m-1) < \frac{k+1}{2} \leq m(m+1), \text{ we have}$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_k = \left[\left(m + \frac{k}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{k+2}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}, \left(m + \frac{k}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{k+2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

From the last two equation, it is clear that $(\Delta \bar{B}_k)$ is unbounded, thus $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k) \notin \bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$. Therefore the class $\bar{\ell}_\infty(\Delta^n, M)$ is not symmetric.

References

- [1] Y. Altin, M. Et and B.C. Tripathy, *The sequence space $|N_p|(M, r, q, s)$ on seminormed spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 154(2004), 423-430.
- [2] Kuo-Ping Chiao, *Fundamental properties of interval vector max-norm*, Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematics, 18(2)(2002), 219-233.
- [3] P.S. Dwyer, *Linear Computation*, New York, Wiley, 1951.
- [4] P.S. Dwyer, *Errors of matrix computation, simultaneous equations and eigen-values*, National Bureau of Standarts, Applied Mathematics Series, 29 (1953), 49-58.
- [5] A. Esi, *λ -sequence spaces of interval numbers*, (submitted).
- [6] A. Esi, *Strongly almost λ -convergence and statistically almost λ -convergence of interval numbers*, Scientia Magna, 7(2) (2011), 117-122.
- [7] A. Esi, *A new class of interval numbers*, Journal of Qafqaz University, 31 (2011), 98-102.
- [8] A. Esi, *Lacunary sequence spaces of interval numbers*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 10(2) (2012) 445-451.
- [9] M. Et, R. Çolak, *On generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math., 21 (4) (1995), 377-386.
- [10] P.S. Fischer, *Automatic propagated and round-off error analysis*, paper presented at the 13th National Meeting of the Association of Computing Machinery, June 1958.
- [11] B. Hazarika, *On λ -ideal convergent interval valued difference classes defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, Acta Mathematica Vietnamica, (Accepted for publications).
- [12] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull., 24(2)(1981), 169-176.
- [13] M.A. Krasnoselski and Y.B. Rutickii, *Convex function and Orlicz spaces*, Groningen, Nederland, 1961.
- [14] S. Markov, *Quasilinear spaces and their relation to vector spaces*, Electronic Journal on Math-ematics of Computation, 2(1)(2005).
- [15] R.E. Moore, *Automatic Error Analysis in Digital Computation*, LSMD-48421, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, 1959.
- [16] R.E. Moore and C.T. Yang, *Interval Analysis I*, LSMD-285875, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, 1962.
- [17] R.E. Moore and C.T. Yang, *Theory of an interval algebra and its application to numeric analysis*, RAAG Memories II, Gaukutsu Bunken Fukeyu-kai, Tokyo, 1958.
- [18] H. Nakano, *Concave modulars*, J. Math.Soc.Japan, 5(1953), 29-49.
- [19] W.H. Ruckle, *FK-spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad.J.Math., 25(1973), 973-978.
- [20] M., Sengönül and A. Eryilmaz, *On the sequence spaces of interval numbers*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 8(3)(2010), 503-510.
- [21] B.C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, *On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Acta Mathematica Applicata Sinica, 20(2)(2004), 231-238.
- [22] B.C. Tripathy, Y. Altin and M. Et, *Generalized difference sequence spaces on seminormed spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Mathematica Slovaca, 58(3)(2008), 315-324.
- [23] B.C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, *Double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz function*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 31B(1)(2011), 134-140.