Microstructural Transformations of Dissimilar Austenite-Ferrite Stainless Steels Welded Joints
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Abstract This research studies the metallurgical transformations happening during the SMAW welding of AISI 316L austenitic stainless steel with AISI 430 ferritic stainless steel. Two different electrodes, AWS E309L austenitic and AWS E2209-16 duplex stainless steels 3.2 mm diameter, were used to perform the study. The joining was made with a single pass welding and keeping a low heat input ranging from 700 - 1000 J/mm. The influence of the type of electrode and the heat input on the microstructural evolution of the heat affected and the fusion zone was evaluated. Differences between δ ferrite morphology were found for both weld metals. The heat affected zone of the ferritic side showed grain coarsening and grain refinement with martensite at the grain boundaries. Tensile strength was similar for both welding conditions. Microhardness and δ ferrite percent were measured as well.
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1. Introduction

Stainless steels are used in several applications such as energy generation systems, heat exchangers and automotive, oil and chemistry industries [1,2]. Austenitic stainless steels (ASS) have high toughness, low machinability and the best resistance to scale formation among the stainless steel family. In addition, ASS have higher corrosion resistance than martensitic and ferritic stainless steels (FSS). In the other hand, FSS have higher resistance to chloride stress corrosion cracking and represent an economic option than ASS [3]. In addition, FSS have higher thermal conductivity and lower thermal expansion coefficient than ASS [4].

Some applications require joining ASS and FSS [5], which has been carefully studied because of the low weldability of FSS and the hot corrosion cracking of ASS [3,4,6]. Controlling the solidification and reached temperatures during welding becomes critical and represents an engineering challenge, since many of the precipitates present in the austenitic parent metal can be dissolved during the welding due to the high temperatures reached during the process (near to the solidus temperature). This generates an oversaturation of the austenitic matrix upon cooling, which may lead to the formation of precipitates in the heat-affected zone (HAZ). Such precipitates are mainly chromium carbides and chromium nitrides (M23C6 and Cr2N) that can decrease the corrosion resistance leading to intergranular corrosion [7].

This research studies the microstructural evolution of dissimilar austenitic (AISI 316L)-ferritic (AISI 430) stainless steels weldings using two different electrodes: austenitic AWS E309L and duplex AWS E2209-16 and varying the heat input in a low range (0.7-1.0 J/mm).

2. Experimental Procedure

SMAW welding was performed on “V” notched AISI 430 FSS and AISI 316L ASS parent metals with dimensions 200 mm x 100 mm and 4 mm thick. Welding was accomplished using two different electrodes (3.2 mm diameter): austenitic AWS E309L and duplex AWS E2209-16. The joining was made with a single pass welding and keeping a low heat input (HI), 0.8-1.0 kJ/mm for the austenitic electrode and 0.7-0.8 kJ/mm for the duplex electrode. The parameters used to control the heat input were current (I), voltage (V) and welding speed (ν) (see Table 1). Chemistry of the parent metals was determined by optical emission spectroscopy (OES). Chemistry of the filler material was obtained from the provider data sheet (Table 2). The same welder made all welds in flat position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>v (mm/s)</th>
<th>I (A)</th>
<th>V (V)</th>
<th>HI (J/mm)</th>
<th>HI average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AWS E309L</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>922 ± 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS E2209-16</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>754 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microstructure analysis was performed in the welded metals and the heat affected zones (HAZ) of the samples...
in both sides (FSS and ASS). Samples were prepared using standard metallographic techniques with a 0.03\(\mu\)m alumina final polish. Etching was accomplished using aqua regia.

Tensile test was performed according to ASTM E8 [8]. Tensile specimens were 2 mm thick. Delta (\(\delta\)) ferrite measurements were accomplished using a feritoscope (Fischer MP30E S). Vickers microhardness profiles were obtained using 500 g load for 15 s. All measurements were performed perpendicular to the welding direction.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Microstructural Characterization

Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the microstructure profiles of the welded joints obtained with austenitic (AWS-E309L) and duplex (AWS-E2209-16) filler metals, respectively. There was a difference in size of the HAZ on the ferritic side (HAZ-F), which was larger for the austenitic electrode (\(\sim 2\) mm) than for the duplex electrode (\(\sim 1.5\) mm), which may be attributed to the differences in the heat input. In both cases, the HAZ on the austenitic side (HAZ-A) showed the absence of grain growth, the presence of equiaxial austenitic grains with twins and precipitates at the grain boundaries (Figure 3), this precipitation commonly occurs between 425°C and 800°C [6,7]. In addition, the fusion boundary (FB) next to the austenitic parent metal (ABM) has a partially melted zone with equiaxial austenitic grains and \(\delta\) ferrite dendrites in the austenitic grain boundaries (Figure 4).

#### Table 2. Chemistry of parent metals and electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stainless steel</th>
<th>C (%w)</th>
<th>Cr (%w)</th>
<th>Ni (%w)</th>
<th>Si (%w)</th>
<th>Mn (%w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AISI 430</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>16.660</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316L</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>16.838</td>
<td>9.987</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>1.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E309L</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>23.700</td>
<td>13.700</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2209-16</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>22.800</td>
<td>9.300</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The microstructure of the fusion zones (FZ) depended on the composition of the filler metal. Austenitic stainless steel weld metal provided a microstructure in the FZ consisting on an austenitic matrix (white) with skeletal or vermicular ferrite -dark etching- (Figure 5a), this microstructure is obtained when weld cooling rates are moderate and/or when Creq/Nieq is low but still within the ferrite-austenite (FA) range. The FA solidification mode starts with primary ferrite solidification followed by the formation of austenite along the ferrite cell and the dendrite boundaries. As the weld metal cools the ferrite becomes increasingly unstable and the austenite begins to consume the ferrite by a diffusion-controlled reaction. [7]. The skeletal morphology is a consequence of the advance of the austenite consuming the ferrite. As the process proceeds, the ferrite is enriched in ferrite promoting-elements and depleted in austenite promoting elements, which makes it stable at lower temperatures where diffusion is limited.

Duplex stainless steel weld metal solidified as columnar ferrite grains perpendicular to the plate surface with fine acicular austenite at the grain boundaries (Figure 5b). High Creq/Nieq ratios lead to Type F solidification, i.e., ferrite is the only phase that forms directly from the liquid. Ferrite to austenite transformation subsequently occurs in the solid state, at temperature between 1300°C and 800°C. In the weld metal, ferritic solidification involves an epitaxial growth from parent material at the fusion boundary. The epitaxial and competitive nature of ferrite grain growth in duplex stainless steel welds promotes the formation of a coarse columnar ferrite grain structure. The austenite starts to precipitate at the ferrite grain boundaries and at the weld metal surface due to higher free energy of these locations. The morphology of austenite, such as Widmanstätten side plates or intragranular plates, is dependent on the ferrite grain size and cooling rate [7,9].

![Figure 1. SMAW welding AISI 316L-AWS E309L-AISI 430](image1)

![Figure 2. SMAW welding AISI 316L-AWS E2209-16-AISI 430](image2)

![Figure 3. Heat affected zone on the austenitic side showing precipitates at the grain boundaries](image3)

![Figure 4. Fusion boundary microstructure a) AISI 316L-AWS E309L y b) AISI 316L-AWS E2209-16](image4)
The following microstructural features were the same for both filler metals: the fusion boundary next to the ferritic parent metal (FB-F) showed a columnar solidification growing from the ferrite parent grains (Figure 6). A coarse grain zone follows by a refined grain zone are observed in the HAZ-F and martensite was formed at the ferrite grain boundaries in all HAZ-F (see Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 7). The coarse grain zone is formed because grain growth is diffusion controlled, driven by surface energy and requires no nucleation, the energy is given by the welding process [10]. The refined grains zone is due to a recrystallization process where new grains are formed by the movement an annihilation of dislocations in the grains previously deformed in the parent metal [7,11]. Regarding martensite formation, any austenite that forms at elevated temperature will transform to martensite upon cooling to room temperature leaving no carbon available for carbide formation, this happens preferentially at the grain boundaries (see Figure 8) [7].

The austenitic filler metal generates a larger grain growth (264 μm mean grain size) than the duplex filler metal (156 μm mean grain size). This can be explained because of the heat input of the two experimental conditions, which was higher for the austenitic filler metal (see Table 2). In addition, duplex filler metal may produce higher cooling rates and lower reached temperatures than austenitic filler metal because of the differences in thermal properties.

### 3.2. Tensile Properties

The tensile behavior of the welded joints was similar for both experimental conditions (Table 3). Failure occurs at the ferritic parent metal and far away from the HAZ with ductile fracture. These results indicated that grain growth and martensite formation on HAZ-F were not decisive factors in the failure of the tensile probe. Figure 9 shows stress in function of strain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filler metal</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AWS E309L</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS E2209-16</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 9. Stress vs strain curves of the welded joints](image)

### 3.3. Microhardness and Amount of Delta Ferrite

Microhardness profiles were taken along a line in the middle of the thickness and included the parent metals, HAZ and FZ (Figure 10). In Figure 8, "0" indicates the center of the fusion zone. The main hardness differences are in the FZ and in the coarse grain zone of the HAZ-F, which was expected because of the difference in the amount and morphology of delta ferrite for each filler metal [12] and the
difference between the coarse ferritic grain sizes in the HAZ-F zones. The average hardness of the austenitic and duplex filler metals were 228 HV and 278 HV respectively.

4. Summary

The microstructural evolution of a dissimilar austenite-ferrite stainless steel welding was studied using two different filler metals and small variations in the heat input. The results indicated the size of the HAZ-F and the average size of the ferrite in the coarse grain zone depended on the heat input. In the other hand, the morphology and amount of delta ferrite is a function of the chemical composition of the filler metal.
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