

# An Application of Sequencing Batch Reactors in the Identification of Microbial Community Structure from an Activated Sludge

M. Shah\*

Industrial Waste Water Research Laboratory Division of Applied & Environmental Microbiology Enviro Technology Limited Gujarat, India

Corresponding author: shahmp@uniphos.com

Received June 02, 2014; Revised June 15, 2014; Accepted June 15, 2014

**Abstract** In this study, the bacterial community structures of phosphate- and non-phosphate-removing activated sludges were compared. Sludge samples were obtained from two sequencing batch reactors (SBRs), and 16S rDNA clone libraries of the bacterial sludge populations were established. Community structures were determined by phylogenetic analyses of 97 and 92 partial clone sequences from SBR1 (phosphate-removing sludge) and SBR2 (non-phosphate-removing sludge), respectively. For both sludges, the predominant bacterial group with which clones were affiliated was the beta subclass of the proteobacteria. Other major groups represented were the alpha proteobacterial subclass, planctomycete group, and *Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group. In addition, several clone groups unaffiliated with known bacterial assemblages were identified in the clone libraries. *Acinetobacter* spp., thought to be important in phosphate removal in activated sludge, were poorly represented by clone sequences in both libraries. Differences in community structure were observed between the phosphate and non-phosphate-removing sludges; in particular, the *Rhodocyclus* group within the beta subclass was represented to a greater extent in the phosphate-removing community. Such differences may account for the differing phosphate-removing capabilities of the two activated sludge communities.

**Keywords:** activated sludge, rhodocyclus, acinetobacter, phosphate

**Cite This Article:** M. Shah, "An Application of Sequencing Batch Reactors in the Identification of Microbial Community Structure from an Activated Sludge." *Journal of Applied & Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 2, no. 4 (2014): 176-184. doi: 10.12691/jaem-2-4-11.

## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, biological wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are the most common biotechnological application in the world [1]. From the various alternatives of biological treatment systems that exist, conventional activated sludge (CAS) bioreactors are by far the most commonly used secondary treatment technology [2]. Despite of periodic improvements to the technology since its invention almost a century ago [2] and its ubiquitous global application, little is known about the underlying factors controlling the complex dynamics of the microbial populations interacting in the bioreactors and how those dynamic interactions affect the system's functional stability [3]. Until recently, a major obstacle was that the science behind most of those technology improvements was almost entirely empirical rather than theoretical [2,4]. Major changes to the design of CAS systems were done predominantly from an engineering perspective, greatly underestimating the importance of microbial communities as an integral component of these biological treatment systems [2,4]. Thus, many essential aspects regarding the ecology and dynamics of microbial communities within

these systems, necessary for a rational improvement of their design and operation, remain unresolved [5]. Recent efforts have focused on improving the treatment process from a bio-ecological perspective, but so far few studies have been able to establish a clear link between the structure and function of microbial communities and the design and operation of the bioreactors [6]. Most of these efforts have failed due to limiting methodology issues. One of these issues is the modeling of full scale WWTP bioreactors based on studies of lab-scale and pilot scale bioreactors [7,8]. These studies have often been misleading and far from mimicking the real conditions observed in full-scale bioreactors, creating a big gap between their theoretical and their practical contributions [9,10]. Another issue is that many studies had focused on analyzing single bioreactors [11,12], neglecting from their analysis the effect that niche-specific factors may play in the structure and function of microbial communities [4,13,14]. The most notorious, and therefore highly scrutinized, of these issues is culture- and traditional-microscopy-based studies. These studies, aimed to elucidate the diversity of microbes in WWTPs [15,16,17,18], proved to be unreliable, irreproducible and created erroneous perceptions of the dominant populations in the bioreactors [19,20,21,22]. They also failed to

consider operational and geographical factors on the composition of the communities [23,24,25,26]. With the development and application of modern culture independent molecular techniques in ecological studies of wastewater treatment systems [20,27,28,29], the capacity of researchers to understand the true dynamics of microbial communities in these ecosystems has greatly been improved [10]. However, de los Reyes [30] explains that advanced molecular studies of microbial communities in WWTPs have led to the emergence of a microbial community “structure-function” paradigm that has not yet been fully clarified. Linking changes in system design and operation with the ecological factors controlling community assembly in the bioreactors will be critical in fully clarifying this “structure function” paradigm and resolving important operational issues, such as: sludge bulking (e.g. [31]), poor biochemical removal (e.g. [32]), and system instability (e.g. [33,34]); ultimately resulting in more stable and predictable systems [4]. Moreover, the study of CAS systems could provide, in turn, a research platform for developing and validating ecological principles that could be used to predict the behavior of microbial communities in other engineered and natural ecosystems [35,36,37]. Since bacteria are the dominant microbial group in full-scale WWTPs [6]. The aim of the present study was to apply this approach to determining the community structure of activated sludge samples obtained from two laboratory-scale sequencing batch reactors (SBRs) which differed in phosphate-removing capability. The bacterial community structures from two SBRs were compared in order to identify differences which may indicate groups or genera important in the EBPR process.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. SBRs

Two laboratory-scale SBRs were constructed. The influent to the SBRs was settled effluent. The influent had a relatively weak organic content with an average chemical oxygen demand of approximately 370 mg/liter, while soluble phosphate concentrations averaged 18 mg/liter. The reactors were operated on a 6.0-h cycle consisting of three stages: (stage 1) a 2.5-h anaerobic fill period in which 4 liters of settled sewage was added to 8 liters of activated sludge; (stage 2) a 3.0-h react period during which the reactor was aerated to maintain dissolved oxygen levels between 1.8 and 2.5 mg/liter; and (stage 3) a 30-min settle and decant stage in which aeration was stopped, the biomass settled, and 4 liters of treated water was decanted. The sludge age of the SBRs was 15 days, and the working volume of the reactors was 12 liters. Soon after start-up of the reactors, the feed to SBR1 was supplemented with sodium acetate to increase the influent chemical oxygen demand by 100 mg/liter. This was done to develop the phosphate-removing microbial population relative to the unsupplemented reactor (SBR2) and thereby obtain two sludges with differing phosphate-removing capabilities. After 3 weeks of this treatment, samples for chemical analyses, direct cell counts, and molecular biological studies were obtained from each SBR during one complete SBR cycle.

### 2.2. Cell Counts

For direct cell counts of the activated sludge, 1-ml samples were taken during the aerobic stage of the SBR cycle. Cells were fixed by adding 0.1 volume of glutaraldehyde, sonicated for 1 min to disperse flocs, and diluted 50-fold in filter-sterilized 0.03 mM tripolyphosphate solution. Dilute samples (200 ml) were added to 5 ml of 0.05% acridine orange solution for 2 min and then concentrated onto Irgalan black-stained membrane filters (21-mm diameter, 0.2-mm pore size) by using slight vacuum. Filters were then washed with 1 ml of tripolyphosphate solution and mounted on microscope slides. Cells were counted under blue light (450 to 490 nm), using an epifluorescent microscope (Leitz) at 31,000 magnification. At least 12 fields of 243 mm<sup>2</sup> in size were counted to determine the number of cells per milliliter for each sample.

### 2.3. Total Genomic DNA Extraction and Purification

One sludge sample (60 ml) was collected from each reactor during the aerobic stage of the SBR cycle. The two samples were immediately frozen and stored at -20°C prior to DNA extraction. Samples were thawed and vortexed, and 6 ml was taken for each DNA extraction. The cells were concentrated by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 10 min and resuspended in 0.5 volume of 0.15MNaCl-0.1MEDTA. Lysozyme was added to a concentration of 2 mg/ml, and the suspension was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The cells were subjected to three cycles of freeze-thaw by freezing in liquid N<sub>2</sub> and heating for 3 min at 65°C. Proteinase K and sodium dodecyl sulfate were added to final concentrations of 0.02 and 0.5% (wt/vol), respectively, and the mix was incubated at 60°C for 1 h. The effectiveness of the cell lysis procedure was confirmed by microscopic examination of samples taken before and after lysis treatment. Preparations were smeared onto microscope slides, dried, and stained for 2 min with 0.05% acridine orange solution. The slides were observed by epifluorescence microscopy as described for direct cell counts. Protein and polysaccharide complexes in the lysed cell suspensions were extracted into equal volumes of phenol, then phenol-chloroform (25:25), and finally, phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). Nucleic acids were precipitated by adding 0.25 volume of sodium acetate (3 M; pH 5.2) and 2 volumes of cold absolute ethanol and placed at -70°C for 1 h. The nucleic acids were recovered by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 20 min. The DNA pellets were then washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and suspended to a concentration of between 200 and 500 mg/ml in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.8], 1 mM EDTA). Purification of the DNA was by a cesium chloride density gradient (44) created in 13-ml polyallomer tubes centrifuged for 48 h at 45,000 rpm in a Beckman type 50Ti rotor. The band containing DNA was removed from the gradient with a syringe. From the DNA, ethidium bromide was extracted into 6 equal volumes of water-saturated 1-butanol, and the cesium chloride was removed by dialysis for 36 h against TE buffer.

## 2.4. Amplification, Cloning, and Sequencing of 16S rRNA Genes

Amplification of 16S rRNA genes from purified genomic DNA of both the SBR1 and SBR2 sludge samples was carried out in 100-ml reactions. Each reaction tube contained *Tth plus* reaction buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 mM (each) deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 2 U of *Tth plus* DNA polymerase, 0.2 mg of each primer, and 150 ng of purified template DNA. The primers used in the amplification were 27f and 1492r [38]. The reactions were covered with mineral oil and placed in a thermocycler. After an initial denaturation at 96°C for 2 min, 25 cycles were carried out: 48°C for 1 min, 72°C for 2 min, and 94°C for 1 min. To prepare the rRNA gene libraries for each SBR, the products of three PCR amplifications were pooled and purified on Magic DNA Clean Up columns (Promega, Madison, Wis.). The purified product was then ligated into the TA Cloning System according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, San Diego, Calif.). The ligation product was transformed into competent cells supplied in the TA cloning kit or into XL1-Blue MRF9 competent cells (Stratagene), using IPTG (isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside). Plasmids were extracted by an alkaline lysis-polyethylene glycol precipitation method (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.) and inserts were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis. Plasmid inserts were sequenced with the PRISM Ready Reaction Dyedeoxy terminator sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) with 500 to 1,000 ng of template DNA and 27f as the sequencing primer. Extension products were purified by phenol-chloroform, and an ABI model 373A automated sequencer was used to separate amplified fragments and to read the sequences.

## 2.5. Phylogenetic Analysis


Partial sequences were manually aligned against reference sequences representative of the domain bacteria, using the editing program SeqEd (Applied Biosystems). All reference sequences were obtained from the ribosomal database project [39]. Aligned sequence data were transferred to the ae2 editor [39] for construction of similarity matrices and preparation of masked data sets. A single representative of clones with  $\geq 98\%$  sequence similarity was selected to reduce the total number of sequences used in the subsequent analyses. Variable regions from positions 69 to 100 and 181 to 219 were excluded from data sets per the bacterial mask of Lane [38]. Evolutionary distance trees with bootstrap resampling were constructed as previously described [40].

## 3. Results

### 3.1. SBR Performance

Two SBRs were operated with the objective of obtaining sludges with differing phosphate removal capabilities. Sodium acetate was added to the feed of SBR1 to promote phosphate removal. After operation for 3 weeks, superior phosphate removal was observed in SBR1 relative to SBR2 (Figure 1). These results are typical of good and poor phosphate removal in activated sludge as evidenced by excessive anaerobic phosphate

release and aerobic phosphate uptake [41,42]. The influent phosphate concentration for both SBRs was 18.0 mg/liter. Anaerobic phosphate release by the SBR1 biomass was 35.4 mg/liter and that by the SBR2 biomass was 5.2 mg/liter. Phosphate levels in the effluents of SBR1 and SBR2 were 1.5 and 12.0 mg/liter, respectively. These data indicated that there were significant differences in the microbial communities of SBR1 and SBR2 with respect to the biochemical transformation of phosphate. Direct cell counts for the studied SBR cycle were not significantly different in SBR1 and SBR2 ( $9.1 \times 10^9$  and  $7.6 \times 10^9$  cells per ml, respectively).



**Figure 1.** Soluble phosphate concentrations in SBR1 and SBR2 during the period of a complete cycle in the reactors. Numbers in parentheses correspond to the reactor stages described in Materials and Methods

### 3.2. PCR Cloning and DNA Extraction

Approximately 22 mg of purified genomic DNA was obtained from the two SBR sludge samples. Following 16S rDNA amplification and ligation into the vector, the efficiencies of transformation of the clone libraries into cells supplied in the TA Cloning System were very low. Therefore, a second transformation into XL1-Blue MRF9 competent cells was carried out. Much higher efficiencies were obtained for both clone libraries, in the order of 107 transformants per mg of plasmid. Clone libraries were established with approximately even numbers of  $\beta$ -galactosidase-negative recombinants selected from each transformation event. Transformation efficiency did not affect microbial community structure, as determined by phylogenetic analyses. Of the recombinants chosen, approximately 80% contained a 16S rRNA gene insert and provided readable sequences of usually greater than 400 bases.

### 3.3 Phylogenetic Analysis

Partial sequences of the 16S rRNA gene, ranging in length from 376 to 569 nucleotides, were determined for 189 SBR clones (97 SBR1 and 92 SBR2 clones). A sequence similarity matrix is not presented due to the large size of the data set. The number of SBR clone sequences used in the initial analysis was reduced from 189 to 137 by including a single representative of clones with  $\geq 98\%$  sequence similarity. On the basis of a comparative analysis of 318 nucleotide positions, an evolutionary distance tree was constructed with SBR clones and representatives of the domain bacteria. To confirm the groupings established from this initial tree,

subsets of the data were reanalyzed with bootstrap resampling (100). Typically, these subsets consisted of reference sequences and the sequences of the clone group of interest.

**Table 1. Phylogenetic position and frequency of SBR clones (from that reactor) in the bacterial domain<sup>a</sup>**

RDP group no.	Bacterial phylum	% Clones from:		Bootstrap value
		SBR1	SBR2	
2.1	Thermophilic oxygen reducers			
2.2	Thermotogales			
2.4.1	<i>Chloroflexus</i> group		1.1	100
	<i>Chloroflexus</i> group outlier P	1.0	1.1	63
2.4.2	Deinococci			
2.5	<i>Leptospirillum</i>			
	<i>Leptospirillum</i> outliers			
	Q	3.1	2.2	100
	R	2.1	1.1	100
2.6	<i>Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides</i>	5.2	13.0	56
2.7	<i>Chlorobiaceae</i>			
2.8	<i>Flexistipes</i>		1.1	52
	<i>Flexistipes</i> outlier T	1.0		
2.9.1	<i>Planctomyces</i>	12.4	8.7	90
	<i>Planctomyces</i> outlier I	1.0		
2.9.2	Chlamydia		1.1	62
2.9.3	<i>Verrucomicrobium</i>	1.0	1.1	87
	<i>Planctomyces</i> (and relatives) outliers			
	D	1.0		
	N	1.0		
2.10	Cyanobacteria			
2.12	Fibrobacteria			
2.13	Spirochaetes			
2.14.1	Alpha-subclass proteobacteria	11.3	15.2	67
2.14.2	Beta-subclass proteobacteria	32.0	25.0	89
2.14.3	Gamma-subclass proteobacteria	5.2	5.4	27
2.14.4	Delta-subclass proteobacteria			
2.14.5	Epsilon-subclass proteobacteria			
	Proteobacterial outliers			
	B		1.1	
	C	3.1	4.3	73
	G	1.0		
	U	1.0		
	V		1.1	
	X	1.0		
2.15	Fusobacteria			
2.16.1	High G+C, gram positive	1.0		96
2.1 6.2	Low G+C, gram positive	1.0		90
	Low G+C, gram-positive outlier S	1.0		
	Unaffiliated groups			
	A	1.0		
	E	1.0	7.6	67
	H		2.2	89
	J	3.1	2.2	72
	K	1.0		
	L		1.1	
	M	3.1		100
	O	4.1	4.4	55

Approximately 30 bootstrapped trees were constructed in this manner. The data from these analyses are summarized in Table 1. The criteria used to position clones in the bacterial domain were based in most instances on significant bootstrap values (.75%) [43]. However, when bootstrap values did not support groupings, tree topology alone was used to infer relationships. Most SBR clones (133 of 189) were affiliated with recognized ‘‘phyla’’ of the domain *Bacteria* [39], predominantly the proteobacteria (89 of 189) and, in particular, the beta subclass of the proteobacteria (54 of 189). The remainder of the SBR clones were either outliers to recognized phyla (27 of 189) or unaffiliated (29 of 189). The bacterial groups containing the majority of clones (beta and alpha subclasses and planctomycetes) were approximately evenly represented in both SBRs with the exception of the

*Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group, which was represented in SBR2 to a greater extent (Table 1). Individually, all other clone groups represented only a small percentage of one or both clone libraries and were considered minor constituents of the community. To further observe differences between the community structures of the reactors, comparisons were made between the subgroups of the predominant phyla represented in each clone library. The gamma subclass was also considered because it includes the genus *Acinetobacter* (Figure 2). The subgroups were defined, in most cases, according to the RDP release 4.0 phylogenetic list [39] and on the basis of tree topology since the bootstrap values within the major phyla were often low. Within the beta subclass, the *Rubrivivax* group was represented approximately evenly in the SBR1 (14.4% of sequenced SBR1 clones) and SBR2 (17.4% of sequenced SBR2 clones) clone libraries. However, representatives of the *Rhodocyclus* group were more prominent in SBR1 (12.4%) than in SBR2 (3.3%). In the alpha subclass, most of the clones were divided evenly into the alpha-2 and alpha-4 groups [44]. Within the planctomycetes subdivision, the *Planctomyces* and *Gemmata* groups [45] were both represented to a greater extent by SBR1 clones. Within the *Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group, both subdivisions were represented to a greater extent in the SBR2 clone library. The gamma subclass made up a relatively small percentage of the clone libraries (ca. 5% in both). Within this subclass, the *Acinetobacter* group was equally represented in the SBR1 and SBR2 clone libraries (ca. 2% in each). The bacterial ‘‘species’’ composition of the major groups (according to Figure 2) in the SBR1 and SBR2 clone libraries is presented in Table 2. A species was defined as a group of clones with greater than 97% sequence similarity. Clones which did not have 97% similarity with any other clones were considered sole representatives of a species. The 116 clones which represented the major phylogenetic groups were distributed among 69 species. Of the 69 species, 20 were common to both clone libraries and accounted for 45% of the 116 clone sequences. The diversity at the species level was quite different for the *Rubrivivax* and *Rhodocyclus* groups (Table 2). In the *Rubrivivax* group, four of the nine species had clone sequences in both the SBR1 and SBR2 libraries, making up 73% of the total clones in this group. The 15 clone sequences in the *Rhodocyclus* group were distributed among 12 species, and only 1 of these species, consisting of two clones, was observed in both libraries.

## 4. Discussion

The addition of acetate to promote phosphate removal in activated sludge wastewater treatment systems is well established [46,47,48]. The acetate addition to SBR1 resulted in significantly better phosphate removal in this reactor than in SBR2. No significant difference in cell counts between the reactors was observed, suggesting that phosphate removal was a result of variations in community structure and/or metabolism. The present study was aimed at examining variations in the bacterial community structure by using a molecular approach. The


methodology and results of this approach are discussed below.

#### 4.1. Methodology

A 16S rDNA PCR cloning approach was used to determine the bacterial community structure of sludges from SBR1 and SBR2. Problems associated with culturing methods to study microbial populations are well known [49,50,51], and the use of non-culture dependent methods such as PCR cloning is not without its limitations. In the PCR cloning approach several factors may bias the inferred community structure, such as total DNA extraction from samples, PCR amplification bias, gene copy number bias, and cloning and sequencing artifacts [52-56]. To reduce bias introduced from incomplete extraction and purification of total DNA from sludge samples, rigorous cell lysis and DNA purification protocols were used. Few cells were observed in lysed samples, suggesting minimal selective loss of rRNA genes. Additionally, the use of a cesium chloride gradient in the purification procedure ensured removal of organic substances and metals known to be present in activated sludge samples and which inhibit PCR amplification [57]. An initial attempt to entirely remove the PCR amplification bias from the cloning procedure was made by using a bacteriophage shotgun cloning approach. This method was used successfully to study a marine picoplankton community [56]. Sludge DNA was partially digested with the restriction enzyme *Sau3A* and cloned directly into a bacteriophage  $\lambda$  vector. Phage plaques were then probed for bacterial 16S rDNA sequences, using a digoxigenin labelling and detection system (data not shown). However, we were unable to routinely detect putative positive recombinants against the positive signal from the bacterial host of the phage. A possible improvement to this approach, without resorting to radiolabel detection of recombinants, would be the use of an archaeal or eucaryal phage cloning vector to avoid the interfering host signal. The use of the PCR cloning and sequencing protocol proved to be fruitful in our attempt to investigate bacterial community structure of activated sludge. A high percentage of the chosen clones had 16S rRNA gene inserts that were readily sequenced. In conjunction with automated sequencing, this resulted in a rapid turnaround of high-quality sequence data. The first 500 nucleotide positions of the 16S rDNA were selected for sequencing because phylogenetic analyses based on this region have been shown to be consistent with analyses based on the entire gene sequence [56]. We also verified this observation. On the basis of a distance analysis of our near complete data set (1,201 nucleotides) of representative bacterial sequences, most of the stable clades described by Embley et al. [58] were supported by bootstrap resampling (.75%) (64; data not shown). Exceptions were the branching points for the proteobacterial group and the gamma subclass. The low bootstrap value for the gamma subclass is shown in Table 1. We carried out several distance analyses with partial data sets of the reference sequences to compare the resultant trees with that from the near-complete data set. Sequence zones from positions 1 to 500, 501 to 1000, and 1000 to 1500 of the 16S rDNA reference sequences were analyzed. The analysis of the region from positions 1 to

500 of our reference data set showed that two stable clades from our near-complete data set analysis were no longer supported by bootstrapping: the *Leptospirillum* group and Thermotogales. Our clone data were then included in distance analyses. As the number of clones for a given group increased, the associated bootstrap value for that group fell. This was particularly the case for the *Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group.

#### 4.2. Bacterial Community Structure



**Figure 2.** Comparison of bacterial community structures of the major groups identified in the SBR1 library (hatched bars) and the SBR2 library (solid black bars), expressed as a percentage of clones in each library: (A) beta subclass proteobacteria; (B) alpha subclass proteobacteria; (C) planctomycete group; (D) *Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group; (E) gamma subclass proteobacteria

Historically, it has been thought that bacteria of the gamma subclass of the proteobacteria, such as *Acinetobacter* species, dominate phosphate-removing activated sludge populations. In a sludge that was conditioned to remove phosphate by the addition of acetate, bacteria from the genus *Acinetobacter* were reported to make up 90% of the cultivated heterotrophs [59]. Other members of the gamma subclass such as aeromonads, vibrios, and coliforms were reported to dominate an activated sludge community as determined by plate culturing onto various media [60]. By contrast, non-culture-dependent methods such as quinone profiles [61,62] and fluorescent in situ hybridization probes [59] indicated that *Acinetobacter* spp. were present in small proportions in activated sludge (ca. 3 to 6%). As well, Wagner et al. [51,63] found that members of the gamma proteobacterial subclass were selected for by cultivation with nutrient media, explaining the results of Kavanaugh and Randall [60]. Results from our study also indicated that *Acinetobacter* spp. made up only a small proportion (ca. 2%) of the SBR communities. These data suggest that

culturing techniques have provided a misleading picture of bacterial community structure in activated sludge and that, in general, the role of *Acinetobacter* spp. in activated sludge processes has been overrated. The largest percentages of clones from both SBR1 and SBR2 were members of the proteobacterial beta subclass (ca. 28%). Bacteria from this subclass have recently been observed by fluorescent in situ hybridization to be dominant in activated sludge communities [50,51,63]. Given their numerical dominance, it is likely that representatives of the beta subclass play roles in aspects of activated sludge such as degradation of organic material, removal of nutrients, and formation of floc structure. The differences observed between the two SBR communities within the beta subclass (Figure 2) suggest that the *Rhodocyclus* group may have a specific role in phosphate removal. Consistent with this observation is the fact that a high percentage of species within the *Rubrivivax* group are common to both SBRs (73%; Table 2) as opposed to *Rhodocyclus* species, with only 13% of clones being common to both SBRs. However, the high species diversity apparent in the SBRs (Table 2) and the limited number of clones analyzed make it difficult to identify one or more bacterial species which may be important in phosphate removal. Further analysis of SBR clones until all species are represented by at least two clones would increase the resolution of the study and confirm the possible importance of a given species or group in the phosphate removal process. The *Rhodocyclus* group contains members of the phototrophic genus *Rhodocyclus*, as well as members of *Azoarcus* and *Zoogloea* (RDP phylogenetic list version 4.0 [39]). *Rhodocyclus* and *Zoogloea* spp. have been previously isolated from activated sludge [64,65], and the latter are considered typical floc-forming organisms [66]. However, caution should be taken in concluding that *Zoogloea* spp. are important in phosphate removal because *Zoogloea* spp. are phylogenetically heterogeneous. Species of this genus are also present in the alpha subclass and *Burkholderia* group of the beta subclass [65]. Further sequencing of the *Rhodocyclus* clones from SBR1 and SBR2 is required to definitively place them within the group. Following the beta subclass, the alpha proteobacterial subclass was the next most predominant group represented by SBR clones (ca. 13% of total clones). Bacteria from the alpha subclass were observed to be dominant in an activated sludge community also determined by a PCR cloning approach [67]. This suggests that the alpha subclass may be important in activated sludge processes. However, at the group level no significant differences between the phosphate- and non-phosphate-removing communities were noted (Figure 2), although certain species were observed in greater numbers in the SBR1 clones than in the SBR2 clones (Table 2). Our data suggesting a role for the *Rhodocyclus* group in EBPR are interesting since phototrophic proteobacteria belonging to the alpha and beta subclasses have been observed in conventional activated sludge samples [64,68] and in photosynthetic activated sludge [69]. The contribution of these phototrophic bacteria in conventional processes was thought to be negligible because they were detected in low numbers by culture techniques [64,68]. The number of these bacteria was observed to increase in activated sludge when the levels of volatile fatty acids were increased [64].

This is similar to the relationship observed between EBPR and volatile fatty acid levels [42]. Polyphosphate accumulation by strains of the photo-trophic proteobacteria in the alpha and beta subclasses has been observed [70,71]. It would be interesting to compare the physiological aspects of phosphate metabolism used by the phototrophic proteobacteria with the biochemical model for EBPR. A surprising proportion of both clone libraries (ca. 10%) was observed in the planctomycetes group. Bacteria from this group have not previously been observed in activated sludge. However, *Planctomyces bekefii* has been documented in eutrophic aquatic habitats contaminated with domestic sewage [72], and recently *Pirellula*-like and unidentified planctomycetes were isolated from sewage sludge [73]. Of the clones within the *Planctomyces* and *Gemmata* groups, potentially significant differences were observed between the SBR communities (Figure 2), suggesting their possible involvement in the EBPR process. However, no single species within the planctomycete groups appeared to dominate significantly in the SBR1 clones relative to SBR2 (Table 2), indicating the need for further screening of the clone libraries to substantiate the possible importance of this group to the process. A sizable proportion of SBR clones were affiliated with the *Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group, particularly in the non-phosphate-removing (SBR2) library (13%). Bacteria from this group have frequently been observed in activated sludge samples [50,63,74,75]. The larger percentage of *Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides* group clones in SBR2 relative to SBR1 may reflect opportunistic occupation of a niche vacated by phosphate-removing bacteria in the sludge habitat of SBR2. Alternatively, they may actively inhibit phosphate removal, as has been postulated for some bacteria [76]. Noticeably absent from the clone libraries were representatives of the gram-positive bacteria. This is consistent with most previous reports of activated sludge bacterial flocs being dominated by gram-negative genera [74,77,78]. However, at least one survey found that the culturable fraction of a number of activated sludge treatment plants was dominated by *Bacillus* species [79]. Gram-positive bacteria with high G1C contents have also been observed as dominant members of a phosphate removing activated sludge community as determined by a nonculture-dependent method (fluorescent in situ hybridization), and it was suggested that bacteria belonging to this group may have been responsible for the observed phosphate removal [63]. The lack of gram-positive clones in our study compared with that of Wagner et al. [63] may be a result of the different bacterial communities present or because of the different approaches used. A relatively large proportion of the SBR clones were unaffiliated with, or were outliers of, existing bacterial groups (ca. 30%). This is typical of recent cloning studies of environmental samples [56,80,81] and reflects the fact that most bacteria in nature have not been cultured and described [82,83]. Further sequencing of our potentially novel clones is required to definitively position them within the bacterial domain and will provide the focus for future studies. While the results observed in the analyses of the SBR clone libraries offer exciting insights into the bacterial ecology of phosphate removal in activated sludge, the conclusions drawn from this study require further support from other sludge community

structure studies. The immediate use of the sequence data obtained from the clone libraries will be to construct RNA-directed probes for screening clone libraries and probing activated sludge. Results of these types of approaches will identify the bacteria responsible for phosphate removal. The next goal is to investigate phosphate transformations in pure cultures of bacteria identified as important members of EBPR processes. For the moment, bacterial phosphate removal in activated sludge remains an intriguing microbiological puzzle.

**Table 2. species and number of clones in each species for the major phyla (as grouped in Figure 2) in the SBR1 and SBR2 clone libraries**

Phylum	Group	Species no.	No. of clones		Clones present in species common to both clone libraries(%)	
			SBR1	SBR2		
Beta subclass proteobacteria	<i>Rubrivivax</i>	1	2	3		
		2	2	1		
		3	1	2		
		4	6	5		
		5	3	0		
		6	0	2		
7-9*	0	3				
	Total		14	16	73	
	<i>Rhodocyclus</i>	1	2	0		
		2	2	0		
		3	1	1		
		4-12 <sup>#</sup>	7	2		
		Total	12	3		13
	Unaffiliated group	1	2	1	50	
		2-4*	2	1		
Alpha subclass proteobacteria	Alpha-2 subclass	1	3	1	31	
		2-10*	3	6		
Planctomycete group	Alpha-3 subclass	1-2*	0	2	0	
		Alpha-4 subelass	1	4		2
			2-5*	1		3
	<i>Planctiortyces</i>	1	2	1	60	
		2-6*	3	2		
		1	2	1		
<i>(immwia</i>	2	2	1	75		
	3-4*	1	1			
	1-3*	1	2			
Flexibacter	<i>Pirellula</i> Subdivision I	1	1	1	0	
		2	1	3		
Cytophaga-Baeteroides	Subdivision II	3*	0	1	86	
		i	0	2		
Ciiimma sutts proteobacteria	<i>Acinetobacter</i>	2-6*	2	3	0	
		1	2	0		
	2-3 <sup>#</sup>	0	2	0		
	Enterie group	r	0	1	0	
	<i>Legionella</i>	1	2	0	0	

\* A species was defined as a group of clones having >97% sequence similarity. Clones which did not have >97% similarity with any other clones were considered sole representatives of a species; these are indicated by asterisks.

**References**

[1] Seviour R, Nielsen PH (2010) Microbial ecology of activated sludge. London: IWA Publishing Company. 688 p.  
 [2] Bitton G (2011) Wastewater microbiology. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons. 746 p.  
 [3] Graham DW, Smith VH (2004) Designed ecosystem services: Application of ecological principles in wastewater treatment engineering. Front Ecol Environ 4: 199-206.

[4] Wang X, Wen X, Yan H, Ding K, Zhao F, et al. (2011) Bacterial community dynamics in a functionally stable pilot-scale wastewater treatment plant. Bioresour Technol 102: 2352-2357.  
 [5] Curtis TP, Head IM, Graham DW (2003) Theoretical ecology in engineering biology. Environ Sci Technol 37: 64A-70A.  
 [6] Wells GF, Park HD, Eggleston B, Francis CA, Criddle CS (2011) Fine-scale bacterial community dynamics and the taxa-time relationship within a full-scale activated sludge bioreactor. Water Res 45: 5476-5488.  
 [7] Wagner M, Loy A, Nogueira R, Purkhold U, Lee N, et al. (2002) Microbial community composition and function in wastewater treatment plants. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 81: 665-680.  
 [8] Kaewpipat K, Grady CPL (2002) Microbial population dynamics in laboratory scale activated sludge reactors. Water Sci Technol 46: 19-27.  
 [9] Padayachee P, Ismail A, Bux F (2006) Elucidation of the microbial community structure within a laboratory-scale activated sludge process using molecular techniques. Water SA 32: 679-686.  
 [10] Jenkins D (2008) From total suspended solids to molecular biology tools: a personal view of biological wastewater treatment process population dynamics. Water Environ Res 80: 677-687.  
 [11] Saikaly PE, Stroot PG, Oerther DB (2005) Use of 16S rRNA gene terminal restriction fragment analysis to assess the impact of solids retention time on the bacterial diversity of activated sludge. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 5814-5822.  
 [12] Ofiteru ID, Lunn M, Curtis TP, Wells GF, Criddle CS, et al. (2010) Combined niche and neutral effects in a microbial wastewater treatment community. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 15345-15350.  
 [13] Sanapareddy N, Hamp TJ, Gonzalez LC, Hilger HA, Fodor AA, et al. (2009) Molecular diversity of a North Carolina wastewater treatment plant as revealed by pyrosequencing. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 1688-1696.  
 [14] Fierer N, Lennon JT (2011) The generation and maintenance of diversity in microbial communities. Am J Bot 98: 439-448.  
 [15] Nemergut DR, Costello EK, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Jiang L, et al. (2011) Global patterns in the biogeography of bacterial taxa. Environ Microbiol 13: 135-144.  
 [16] Benedict RG, Carlson DA (1971) Aerobic heterotrophic bacteria in activated sludge. Water Res 5: 1023-1030.  
 [17] Dias FF, Bhat JV (1964) Microbial ecology of activated sludge. Appl Microbiol 12: 412-417.  
 [18] Lighthart B, Oglesby RT (1969) Bacteriology of an activated sludge wastewater treatment plant: A guide to methodology. J Water Pollut Control Fed 41: R267-R281.  
 [19] van Veen W (1973) Bacteriology of activated sludge, in particular the filamentous bacteria. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 39: 189-205.  
 [20] Eschenhagen M, Schuppler M, Ro'ske I (2003) Molecular characterization of the microbial community structure in two activated sludge systems for the advanced treatment of domestic effluents. Water Res 37: 3224-3232.  
 [21] Snaidr J, Amann R, Huber I, Ludwig W, Schleifer K (1997) Phylogenetic analysis and in-situ identification of bacteria in activated sludge. Appl Environ Microbiol 63: 2884-2896.  
 [22] Wagner M, Amann R, Lemmer H, Schleifer K (1993) Probing activated sludge with oligonucleotides specific for proteobacteria: Inadequacy of culture-dependent methods for describing microbial community structure. Appl Environ Microbiol 59: 1520-1525.  
 [23] Watanabe K, Yamamoto S, Hino S, Harayama S (1998) Population dynamics of phenol-degrading bacteria in activated sludge determined by gyrB-targeted quantitative PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 1203-1209.  
 [24] Green JL, Bohannan BJM (2006) Spatial scaling of microbial biodiversity. Trends Ecol Evol 21: 501-507.  
 [25] Martiny JBH, Bohannan BJM, Brown JH, Colwell RK, Fuhrman JA, et al. (2006) Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. Nat Rev Microbiol 4: 102-112.  
 [26] van der Gast CJ, Jefferson B, Reid E, Robinson T, Bailey MJ, et al. (2006) Bacterial diversity is determined by volume in membrane bioreactors. Environ Microbiol 8: 1048-1055.  
 [27] Wang X, Wen X, Criddle C, Wells G, Zhang J, et al. (2010) Community analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in activated sludge of eight wastewater treatment systems. J Environ Sci (China) 22: 627-634.  
 [28] Loy A, Daims H, Wagner M (2002) Activated sludge: molecular techniques for determining community composition. In: Bitton G, editor. The Encyclopedia of environmental microbiology. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons. 26-43.

- [29] Onuki M, Satoh H, Mino T, Matsuo T (2000) Application of molecular methods to microbial community analysis of activated sludge. *Water Sci Technol* 42: 17-22.
- [30] Wilderer PA, Bungartz HJ, Lemmer H, Wagner M, Keller J, et al. (2002) Modern scientific methods and their potential in wastewater science and technology. *Water Res* 36: 370-393.
- [31] de los Reyes FL III (2010) Challenges in determining causation in structurefunction studies using molecular biological techniques. *Water Res* 44: 4948-4957.
- [32] Jones PA, Schuler AJ (2010) Seasonal variability of biomass density and activated sludge settleability in full-scale wastewater treatment systems. *Chem Eng J* 164: 16-22.
- [33] Carvalho G, Lemos PC, Oehmen A, Reis MAM (2007) Denitrifying phosphorus removal: Linking the process performance with the microbial community structure. *Water Res* 41: 4383-4396.
- [34] Briones A, Raskin L (2003) Diversity and dynamics of microbial communities in engineered environments and their implications for process stability. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 14: 270-276.
- [35] Gentile ME, Jessup CM, Nyman JL, Criddle CS (2007) Correlation of functional instability and community dynamics in denitrifying dispersed-growth reactors. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 680-690.
- [36] Curtis TP, Sloan WT (2006) Towards the design of diversity: stochastic models for community assembly in wastewater treatment plants. *Water Sci Technol* 54: 227-236.
- [37] Daims H, Taylor MW, Wagner M (2006) Wastewater treatment: a model system for microbial ecology. *Trends Biotechnol* 24: 483-489.
- [38] Prosser JI, Bohannon BJ, Curtis TP, Ellis RJ, Firestone MK, et al. (2007) The role of ecological theory in microbial ecology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 5: 384-392.
- [39] Lane, D. J. (1991). 16S/23S rRNA sequencing, p. 115-175. In E. Stackebrandt and M. Goodfellow (ed.), *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [40] Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. (1989). *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- [41] Lane, D. J. (1991). 16S/23S rRNA sequencing, p. 115-175. In E. Stackebrandt and M. Goodfellow (ed.), *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [42] 32. Larsen, N., G. J. Olsen, B. L. Maidak, M. J. McCaughey, R. Overbeek, T. J. Macke, T. L. Marsh, and C. R. Woese. (1993). The ribosomal database project. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 21: 3021-3023.
- [43] Blackall, L. L., S. C. Barker, and P. Hugenholtz. (1994). Phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic history of *Nocardia pinensis* and *Nocardia amarae*. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 17: 519-525.
- [44] Mino, T., T. Kawakami, and T. Matsuo. (1985). Behaviour of intracellular polyphosphate in the biological phosphate removal process. *Water Sci. Technol.* 17 (12): 11-21.
- [45] Toerien, D. F., A. Gerber, L. H. Lo'tter, and T. E. Cloete. (1990). Enhanced biological phosphorus removal in activated sludge systems. *Adv. Microb. Ecol.* 11: 173-230.
- [46] Liesack, W., R. So'ller, T. Stewart, H. Haas, S. Giovannoni, and E. Stackebrandt. (1992). The influence of tachytically (rapidly) evolving sequences on the topology of phylogenetic trees intrafamily relationships and the phylogenetic position of *Planctomycetaceae* as revealed by comparative analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 15: 357-362.
- [47] Bayly, R. C., J. W. May, W. G. C. Raper, A. Duncan, N. H. Pilkington, and G. E. Vasiliadis. (1989). The effect of primary fermentation on biological nutrient removal, p. 162-166. In *Australian Water and Wastewater Association 13th Federal Convention*. Australian Water and Wastewater Association, Canberra, Australia.
- [48] Lo'tter, L. H., and A. P. Pitman. (1992). Improved biological phosphorus removal resulting from the enrichment of reactor feed with fermentation products. *Water Sci. Technol.* 26 (5-6): 943-953.
- [49] Winter, C. T. (1989). The role of acetate in denitrification and biological phosphate removal in modified bardenpho systems. *Water Sci. Technol.* 21 (4-9): 375-385.
- [50] Brock, T. D. (1987). The study of microorganisms *in situ*: progress and problems. *Symp. Soc. Gen. Microbiol.* 41: 1-17.
- [51] Manz, W., M. Wagner, R. Amann, and K. H. Schleifer. (1994). *In situ* characterization of the microbial consortia active in two wastewater treatment plants. *Water Res.* 28: 1715-1723.
- [52] Wagner, M., R. Amann, H. Lemmer, and K. H. Schleifer. (1993). Probing activated sludge with oligonucleotides specific for proteobacteria: inadequacy of culture-dependent methods for describing microbial community structure. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 59: 1520-1525.
- [53] DeLong, E. F., D. G. Franks, and A. L. Alldredge. (1993). Phylogenetic diversity of aggregate-attached vs. free-living marine bacterial assemblages. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 38: 924-934.
- [54] 16. Ekendahl, S., J. Arlinger, F. Stahl, and K. Pedersen. (1994). Characterisation of attached bacterial populations in deep granitic groundwater from the Stripa research mine by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and scanning electron microscopy. *Microbiology* 140: 1575-1583.
- [55] Liesack, W., H. Weyland, and E. Stackebrandt. (1991). Potential risks of gene amplification by PCR as determined by 16S rDNA analysis of a mixedculture of strict barophilic bacteria. *Microb. Ecol.* 21: 191-198.
- [56] Pace, N. R., D. A. Stahl, D. J. Lane, and G. J. Olsen. (1986). The analysis of natural microbial populations by ribosomal RNA sequences. *Adv. Microb. Ecol.* 9: 1-55.
- [57] Schmidt, T. M., E. F. DeLong, and N. R. Pace. (1991). Analysis of a marine picoplankton community by 16S rRNA gene cloning and sequencing. *J. Bacteriol.* 173: 4371-4378.
- [58] Straub, T. M., I. L. Pepper, M. Abbaszadegan, and C. P. Gerba. (1994). A method to detect enteroviruses in sewage sludge-amended soil using the PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60: 1014-1017.
- [59] Emberly, T. M., R. P. Hirt, and D. M. Williams. (1994). Biodiversity at the molecular level: the domains, kingdoms and phyla of life. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci.* 345: 21-33.
- [60] Wentzel, M. C., R. E. Loewenthal, G. A. Ekama, and G. R. Marais. (1988). Enhanced polyphosphate organism cultures in activated sludge systems. Part 1. Enhanced culture development. *Water S. A. (Pretoria)* 14: 81-92.
- [61] Hiraishi, A., K. Masamune, and H. Kitamura. (1989). Characterization of the bacterial population structure in an anaerobic-aerobic activated sludge system on the basis of respiratory quinone profiles. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 55: 897-901.
- [62] 25. Hiraishi, A., and Y. Morishima. (1990). Capacity for polyphosphate accumulation of predominant bacteria in activated sludge showing enhanced phosphate removal. *J. Ferment. Bioeng.* 69: 368-371.
- [63] Wagner, M., R. Erhart, W. Manz, R. Amann, H. Lemmer, D. Wedi, and K. H. Schleifer. (1994). Development of an rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probe specific for the genus *Acinetobacter* and its application for in situ monitoring in activated sludge. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60: 792-800.
- [64] Larsen, N., G. J. Olsen, B. L. Maidak, M. J. McCaughey, R. Overbeek, T. J. Macke, T. L. Marsh, and C. R. Woese. (1993). The ribosomal database project. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 21: 3021-3023.
- [65] Hiraishi, A., and H. Kitamura. (1984). Distribution of phototrophic purple nonsulfur bacteria in activated sludge systems and other aquatic environments. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.* 50: 1929-1937.
- [66] Shin, Y. K., A. Hiraishi, and J. Sugiyama. (1993). Molecular systematics of the genus *Zoogloea* and emendation of the genus. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 43: 826-831.
- [67] van Niekerk, A. M., D. Jenkins, and M. D. Richard. (1987). The competitive growth of *Zoogloea ramigera* and Type 021N in activated sludge and pure culture
- [68] Schuppler, M., F. Mertens, G. Scho'n, and U. B. Go'bel. (1995). Molecular characterization of nocardiform actinomycetes in activated sludge by 16S rRNA analysis. *Microbiology* 141: 513-521.
- [69] Siefert, E., R. L. Irgens, and N. Pfennig. (1978). Phototrophic purple and green bacteria in a sewage treatment plant. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 35: 38-44.
- [70] Hiraishi, A., J. L. Shi, and H. Kitamura. (1989). Effects of organic nutrient strength on the purple nonsulfur bacterial content and metabolic activity of photosynthetic sludge for wastewater treatment. *J. Ferment. Bioeng.* 68: 269-276.
- [71] Toerien, D. F., A. Gerber, L. H. Lo'tter, and T. E. Cloete. (1990). Enhanced biological phosphorus removal in activated sludge systems. *Adv. Microb. Ecol.* 11: 173-230.

- [72] Hiraishi, A., and H. Kitamura. (1984). Differences in phototrophic growth on high phosphate concentrations among *Rhodospseudomonas* species. *J. Ferment. Technol.* 62: 293-296.
- [73] Hiraishi, A., A. Yanase, and H. Kitamura. (1991). Polyphosphate accumulation by *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* grown under different environmental conditions with special emphasis on the effect of external phosphate concentrations. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Microb. Ecol.* 6: 25-32.
- [74] Kristiansen, J. (1971). On *Planctomyces bekefii* and its occurrence in Danish lakes and ponds. *Bot. Tidsskr.* 66: 293-302.
- [75] Schlesner, H. (1994). The development of media suitable for the microorganisms morphologically resembling *Planctomyces* spp., *Pirellula* spp., and other *Planctomycetales* from various aquatic habitats using dilute media. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 17: 135-145.
- [76] Hiraishi, A. (1988). Respiratory quinone profiles as tools for identifying different bacterial populations in activated sludge. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* 34: 39-56.
- [77] Takeuchi, J. (1991). Influence of nitrate on the bacterial flora of activated sludge under anoxic condition. *Water Sci. Technol.* 23 (4-6): 765-772.
- [78] Cech, J. S., P. Hartman, and J. Wanner. (1993). Competition between PolyP and non-PolyP bacteria in an enhanced phosphate removal system. *Water Environ. Res.* 65: 690-693.
- [79] Brodisch, K. E. U. (1985). Interaction of different groups of microorganisms in biological phosphate removal. *Water Sci. Technol.* 17 (12): 89-97.
- [80] Buchan, L. (1983). Possible biological mechanism of phosphorus removal. *Water Sci. Technol.* 15 (3-4): 87-103.
- [81] Bux, F., and H. C. Kusan. (1994). A microbiological survey of ten activated sludge plants. *Water S. A. (Pretoria)* 20: 61-72.
- [82] Pickup, R. W. (1991). Development of molecular methods for the detection of specific bacteria in the environment. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 137: 1009-1019.
- [83] Trebesius, K., R. Amann, W. Ludwig, K. Mu'hlegger, and K. H. Schleifer. (1994). Identification of whole fixed bacterial cells with nonradioactive 23S rRNA-targeted polynucleotide probes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60: 3228-3235.