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Abstract College Achievement Training Seminars is a first-year transition program that consists of a series of 15 non-credit workshops with a mentoring component that pairs the first-year participants with upper-class or graduate mentors who are active members of Delta Alpha Pi International Honor Society, the primary collegiate honorary recognizing high-achieving students with disabilities. This qualitative, comparative study examined the first-semester grade point average and first-to-second year retention rates for students with disabilities who successfully completed College Achievement Training Seminars with those who were eligible for and invited to participate but chose not to attend. Participating students had a higher first-semester grade point average and higher first-to-second year retention rates. The mean aggregate retention rate over an eight-year period was 91% for students who completed College Achievement Training Seminars versus 52% for those who did not participate in the program.
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1. Introduction

Research has been contradictory regarding the persistence of students with disabilities to graduation in higher education. Results of most studies have indicated that students with disabilities at post-secondary institutions are less likely to persist in educational goals and to attain a degree than students without disabilities (defur, Getzel, & Trossi, 1996; Horn, Berktold, & Bobbitt, 1999; Murray, Goldstien, Nourse, & Edgar, 2000). On the other hand several studies indicate equivalent persistence for students with disabilities (Miller & Albiero-Walton, 2003; Wessel, Jones, Markle, & Westfall, 2009).

Research focusing on personality constructs that contribute to academic achievement fails to show any significant differences between individuals with and without disabilities in post-secondary institutions (Benshoff, Fried, & Roberto, 1990; Fichten, Boudon, Amsel Fox, 1987; Gambrill, Florian & Splaver, 1986; Graham, Schwartz & MacArthur, 1993; Houck, Englehard & Geller, 1989; Kriegsman & Hershenson, 1987; Leone & Burns, 1997; Schieman & Turner, 1998; Shiflet, Cator & Meggenson, 1994; Whilite, S., 1990). Although they are similar to individuals without disabilities in many characteristics including: ego development, self-actualization, motivation, maturity, locus of control and self-concept, college students with disabilities continue to persist and graduate from post-secondary institutions at a lower percentage than college students without disabilities (Henderson, 1999; Kriegsman & Hershenson, 1987; Murray, Goldstien, Nourse, & Edgar, 2000).

Additionally, more than 30 years of research into the retention and persistence of students from varying backgrounds in post-secondary education indicates that many students (e.g., minority, first-generation, students with disabilities) do not acclimate easily to the culture of a college or university. Such difficulty with transition can have an impact on retention for these populations. Professionals at post-secondary institutions remain concerned about retention and graduation rates for the growing population of students with disabilities. Statistics from the U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (2013) indicate the 10.9% of students in post-secondary education during the 2007-2008 academic year identified as a student with a disability. Therefore, exploring constructs that enhance academic success for college students with disabilities is important to educational research.

Successful retention requires "...high quality, caring, and concerned faculty and staff...” (Tinto, 1993, p. 201). In other words, successful retention of students with disabilities is everyone’s responsibility. “Effective retention calls for sustained effort on the part of all institutional members to give each and every student serious and honest attention on a daily basis” (Tinto, 1993, p. 201). Students need to connect with someone they trust in order to enhance their opportunity to succeed.

In fall 2003, the two researchers who were also faculty members in the Office of Disability Services (ODS) at a state university in the Mid-Atlantic region, developed and implemented a first-year seminar series designed to assist students with disabilities in the transition to higher education through increased self-efficacy/self-advocacy, academic strategies, and social skills; the program was titled College Achievement Training Seminars (CATS). The following fall a peer mentoring component was added. Mentors were selected from applicants who were upper-class or graduate students with disabilities and who were members of Delta Alpha Pi International Honor Society (DAPI), the primary collegiate honor society in the country that specifically recognizing high-achieving students with disabilities. In summation, the program consisted of CATS, DAPI, and mentoring which connected the first two components and, as proposed by the creators, created as unique synergy that would lead to academic success and retention. Data were collected over an eight-year period (2003-2004 through 2010-2011) and analyzed to compare first-semester grade point averages and the first-to-second year retention rates for students who completed the transition program successfully with those students who were eligible and invited to attend CATS but chose not to participate in the program. First semester grade point averages were used for comparison because students were actively engaged in the CATS program at that time. First-to-second year retention rates were selected because that is the period immediately following completion of the program and because research shows this is a time when attrition is high (Miller & Albiero-Walton, 2001; Bandura, 1977,). Previous research has shown that self-efficacy is associated with positive academic achievement (Jones, B. D., Paretti, M. C., Hein, S. F., & Knott, T. W., 2010; Komarraju, M. & Nadler, D. 2013; Liem, A. D., Lau, S., & Nie, Y., 2008; Louis, R. A., & Mistele, J. M., 2011; Pampaka, M., Klephantous, I., Hutcheson, G. D., & Wake, G., 2011; Pajares, 1996). Individuals with a high degree of self-efficacy can complete tasks and be successful in academic pursuits (Bandura, 1997). The hypothesis that college students with disabilities have different personality constructs responsible for academic achievement from college students without disabilities has not been proven. Studies of self-efficacy and academic achievement are consistent in finding that a greater self-efficacy has an influence on academic achievement regardless of individual differences of students. (Jones et al., 2010; Liem et al., 2008; Louis et al., 2011; Pampaka et al., 2011) College students with disabilities may have physical, cognitive or emotional differences, but research has shown they do not exhibit differences in many personality constructs that are important to academic success (Benshoff et al., 1990; Graham & Weiner, 1996; King, Schultz, Steel, Gilpin, & Catners, 1993; Kriegsman & Hershenson, 1987; Low, 1996; Schieman & Turner, 1998; Zimmerman, 1996). A general sense of self-efficacy may be a predictor of generalized performance (Pajares, 1996, Zimmerman, Bandura, & Martinez-Pons, 1992) even though Bandura (1986) cautions against this wide sweeping theory. Pajares (1996) states “... the direct effect of self-efficacy on performance was as strong as the effect of ability” (p. 9). In order to build and enhance self-efficacy skills, the instructors focus on learning strengths to assist students in developing or enhancing compensatory strategies and skills in a variety of reading and study areas (Miller, 1994; Miller, 1998; Miller, 2005; Mooney & Cole, 2000). The program motto is: “What matters most is how you see yourself.” Following factors of student engagement delineated by Crosling, Heagney & Thomas (2009), the program facilitators incorporate opportunities for student-centered active learning, integrating study strategies, and access to other support as needed.

CATS topics include: Self-efficacy, self-advocacy, acclimation to higher education, mentor/mentee responsibilities and requesting accommodations (two sessions); introduction to assistive technology and working with note takers, readers and/or scribes; learning styles, modality assessment and study strategies based on
learning strategies; test taking strategies; effective note taking from texts and in class; efficient/effective textbook reading strategies; critical reasoning and problem solving; communal living, communication and conflict resolution; stress proofing and test anxiety; research and plagiarism; memory and mnemonic techniques; goal setting, organization and time management; vocabulary in context; and internships and career planning.

Incoming first-year students with disabilities and their parents receive letters, information and an application during the summer prior to their matriculation. A nominal fee of $50 for the program is charged to cover the cost of materials and a mentor stipend of $100. Any student unable to pay the fee provides service hours to the Office of Disability Services in lieu of the monetary charge. All CATS participants receive a three-ring binder at the first session; all handouts are three-hole punched so that students can collect and maintain materials in their binders.

3. Delta Alpha Pi Honor Society

Delta Alpha Pi Honor Society is the primary collegiate honorary dedicated to recognizing high-achieving students with disabilities. Delta Alpha Pi was founded at East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania in 2004 by the authors of this article. The three Greek letters were selected for a specific reason: Delta – D for disability; Alpha – A for achievement; and Pi – P for pride. The honor society motto is: “Working for an aDAPtable world.”

Membership in Delta Alpha Pi is by invitation and is open to undergraduate and graduate students who meet the following criteria: Students must have a documented disability, work with one of the faculty or staff members in the Office of Disability Services (or the equivalent), and demonstrate an interest in disability issues. Undergraduate students must have completed a minimum of 24 credits and earned an overall Quality Point Average of 3.10 (on a 4.00 scale). Graduate students must have completed a minimum of 18 credits and earned an overall Quality Point Average of 3.30 (on a 4.00 scale).

The founders developed the idea of an honor society for students with disabilities after they realized that there were a number of collegiate honoraries based on a population-specific characteristic rather than only based on discipline. For example Alpha Sigma Lambda exists for non-traditional aged students; Alpha Kappa Mu is available to students who are African American; Chi Alpha Epsilon involves students who participate in grant-funded programs (e.g., the federal TRIO program); Mortar Board is all female; and SALUTE recognizes veterans. However, an internet search revealed that there were no collegiate honor societies for students with disabilities.

The constitution for Delta Alpha Pi was developed based on the constitutions of four other collegiate honor societies: Psi Chi (psychology); Iota Iota Iota (women’s studies); Sigma Pi Epsilon Delta (special education); and Chi Alpha Epsilon (grant-funded programs). The criteria for membership are similar to other national honor societies.

The constitution for DeltaAlpha Pi was developed based on the constitutions of four other collegiate honor societies: Psi Chi (psychology); Iota Iota Iota (women’s studies); Sigma Pi Epsilon Delta (special education); and Chi Alpha Epsilon (grant-funded programs). The criteria for membership are similar to other national honor societies.

Between 2004 and 2006 two additional chapters were established at universities within the Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education. In 2006 the founders and two charter members of the Alpha Chapter of Delta Alpha Pi Honor Society attended the annual conference of the Association on Higher Education and Disability (AHEAD) in San Diego, California, and staffed a booth in the exhibit hall. Following this national exposure, the honor society began to grow at the rate of approximately ten chapters per year between 2006 and 2013.

In 2008 the honorary incorporated as a not-for-profit organization with the title of Delta Alpha Pi International Honor Society (DAPi) and received a tax identification number. DAPi established four officer positions and a Board of Directors with six rotating positions of three years each. The annual business meeting for the organization is held during the Association on Higher Education and Disability Conference. In order to advance the educational opportunities for members of DAPi, a scholarship fund was established in 2010. Fund-raising activities continue in order to reach the minimum goal of $20,000 when the organization can begin to offer a scholarship.

As Delta Alpha Pi International Honor Society has continued to grow, chapters have been established at community colleges, private liberal arts colleges, faith-based institutions, state universities, large research universities, and one Ivy League school. Chapters engage in a variety of activities depending on the interests of their members and the size of the chapter. Many chapters include a mentoring component; some have conducted joint activities with other campus organizations; several have hosted educational programs; and a few are involved with Project Eye-to-Eye. Chapters are also engaged in raising funds for the DAPi scholarship, which allows students to feel that they are “giving ahead” in order to fund the scholarship for future members. In addition, the two founders are working currently in a project that will result in an annotated bibliography of contemporary fiction that includes a protagonist with a disability who is three-dimensional and not portrayed in a stereo-typical fashion. The bibliography will be posted on the DAPi website: www.deltaalphapihonorsociety.org

4. Mentoring

During each summer a mentoring application is sent to members of Delta Alpha Pi Honor Society who will be returning in fall. Students who wish to be considered for the mentor positions complete and return the application. The chapter advisors review the applications and select mentors based on the number of students participating in CATS with preference given to those who participated in CATS when they were first-year students. Each mentor is assigned to four-five first-year students.

Mentors meet with the chapter advisors prior to the first meeting of CATS in order to complete training and receive mentee assignments. Training includes a review of mentor characteristics, building trust and good listening skills, and suggestions for discussion topics when meeting with mentees (e.g., how to request accommodations from professors and delivering accommodation letters, attending CATS, using individual tutors and participating in group sessions, and priority registration.) Mentors also receive a list of their responsibilities to the program and to their mentees. Mentors share expertise in negotiating...
campus life, requesting and using academic accommodations, and developing appropriate study strategies. Mentors benefit from their participation by increasing their skills in leadership, communication and advocacy.

During the first two meetings of CATS first-year participants and their mentors have the opportunity to get to know one another through formal activities (e.g., campus “jeopardy”) and informal group discussions. Mentors role-play delivering accommodation letters and requesting accommodations from professors to help acquaint students with the process. First-year students also receive a list of their responsibilities to their mentor and to the program.

The connection between first-year students and upper-class or graduate students with disabilities who are honor students creates a powerful synergy. First-year students benefit from interacting with a successful upper-class student who also has a disability; learning strategies and developing skills through working with a peer; receiving a positive message about success in a university setting; and developing a relationship with a positive role model. Anecdotally, the positive result can be observed by the fact that a majority of students who complete CATS successfully apply to be mentors when they are eligible to do so. Most mention in their application that they want to be mentors because their mentor relationship when they were first-year students was so beneficial for them. In 2008, two student mentors accompanied the program was first-year students was so beneficial for them.

5. Method and Results

Two research questions were developed:

1. Is there a difference in first-semester fall grade point averages between students who participate in CATS and those who are eligible but choose not to participate in the program?

2. Is there a difference in first-to-second year retention rate between students who participate in CATS and those who are eligible but choose not to participate in the program?

Table 1. Fall Grade Point Average (GPA) Comparison: CATS and Non-Cats for 2009 & 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Entered</th>
<th>CATS Retention Rate</th>
<th>Non-CATS Retention Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>No Data</td>
<td>No Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion and Conclusion

Although statistical analysis was not completed due to the variation in cell sizes between and among groups over the eight-year period, a difference in mean aggregate retention rate between 91% and 52% is educationally significant and demonstrates the fact that students with disabilities can persist and achieve success in higher education.

Although many factors contribute to the academic success and retention of university students with and without disabilities, the results of this study reveal a correlation between participation in a structured, research-based first-year program with a mentoring component and higher first-semester grade point averages and stronger retention rates. Students who participate in CATS enhance a variety of skills (e.g., self-efficacy and self-advocacy) and strategies (e.g., effective study techniques using learning strengths) that contribute to academic and personal success. In addition they develop relationships with significant adults and peers who serve as successful role models. While the connection between CATS participation and academic success cannot be viewed as cause-and-effect, students do appear to benefit from completing the program successfully and from interacting with their mentors. While this qualitative, comparative study was limited due to the fact that only one university was involved, and numbers did not support statistical analysis, successful completion of this type of structured program can be viewed as one factor in enhancing persistence and retention. Further research is needed to study the many complicated factors (e.g., motivation, high
school preparation, or familiarity with appropriate assistive technology) that contribute both to consistent attendance and participation in a structured program and to academic performance and persistence to graduation.
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