Effect of Coadministered Lopinavir/Ritonavir and Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim on Liver Function and Architecture of Albino Rats
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Abstract HIV/AIDS is usually associated with co morbidities and co infections which may necessitate the concurrent use of antiretroviral drugs with other medications. This may place more burdens on body organs especially the liver which is the primary organ of drug metabolism. Therefore this study evaluates the toxicological effect of single and combined doses of SMX/TMP + LPV/r on the liver function and architecture of rats. Seventy five (75) animals which were divided into five (5) groups were used in this study. Group A which served as control contained fifteen (15) animals which were treated with 1% ethanol orally. Group B-E which contained fifteen (15) animals each was further subdivided into three (3) subgroups of five (5) animals each. Animals in these groups were treated with oral doses of SMX/TMP (11.2/2.3mg/kg), LPV/r (11.4/2.9mg/kg) and combine doses of SMX/TMP + LPV/r for 2-8 weeks respectively. Plasma levels of alanine aminotranferase, (ALT) aspartate amin otranferase, (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were evaluated. Liver malondialdehyde, superoxide dismutase and histopathological changes were also evaluated. Results showed that these agents have no significant toxic effects on the liver weight. Treatment with single doses of SMX/TMP and LPV/r produced a time dependent increase in AST, ALT, and ALP. Significant synergistic increases in these parameters were not observed when these agents (SMX/TMP + LPV/r) were co administered. Single doses of these agents produced a time dependent decrease in SOD with no significant synergistic effects when combined doses of these agents (SMX/TMP + LPV/r) were used. Liver of animals treated with single and combined doses of SMX/TMP and LPV/r showed fairly preserved lobular architecture with vascular congestion and inflammatory cells infiltration in the parenchyma. Conclusion: In this study concurrent treatment with SMX/TMP + LPV/r produced no synergistic hepatotoxicity, hence these agents can be use concurrently in HIV/AIDS associated co infection and co morbidity.
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1. Introduction

Human immunodeficiency virus scourge is a global problem; the Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS estimated that 29.4 Million Africans are infected with HIV [1]. UNAIDS 2012 reported a global, estimation of people living with HIV/AIDS as 35.3 (32.2–38.8) million people with 2.3 (1.9–2.7) million new infections [2]. There is increasing evidence that HIV epidemic is in decline among general population worldwide probably due to awareness and the availability of highly active antiretroviral therapy [3]. Lopinavir boosted with ritonavir (LPV/r) is among the currently used antiretroviral drugs. Lopinavir is boosted with ritonavir because lopinavir is easily metabolized by hepatic CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 hence ritonavir inhibits the CYP3A4 isoenzymes and results in increase concentration of lopinavir when co formulated. This formulation provides a lower pill burden, similar bioavailability, decreased effect of food on absorption of the drug, and an elimination of the refrigeration requirement. Despite these clinical benefits LPV/r is reported to be associated with elevated levels of transaminases which could increase severe risk of liver events [4].

Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim is a fixed dose combination drug (SMX/TMP) that has a broad spectrum of action against microorganisms. This combination inhibits the synthesis of metabolically active form of folic acid and tetrahydrofolic acid in microorganisms. Despite the therapeutic benefits and therapeutic success achieved with the use of SMX/TMP, scholars have associated it with hepatotoxicity which is characterized by elevations in transaminases [5]. Due to co infections and co morbidity
associated with HIV/AIDS, WHO recommends sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim (SMX/TMP) preventive therapy for people living with HIV/AIDS in Africa with symptomatic HIV diseases and asymptomatic individuals who have a CD4 count of less than or equal to 500 cells/mm3 [6]. The presence of co infections and co morbidities among people living with HIV may involve the concurrent use of antiretroviral agents with other medications which permits the concurrent use of SMX/TMP and LPV/r. Because these drugs are associated with hepatic adverse events the concurrent use of these drugs may add more toxicological burden on the liver which could be of clinical concern. The liver is known as the second largest organ in the body and is a custodian of myriads of functions. These functions include detoxification, synthesis of clotting factors, metabolism of lipids and carbohydrates [7]. It is also a major organ involved in digestive activities within the digestive system. Substantial disruption in its anatomy or function may result in severe alteration in its metabolic roles, and this may adversely affect physiological functions [8]. Therefore this study investigates the toxicological effects of the co administration of lopinavir/ritonavir and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim on liver function and architecture of rats.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Drugs

Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) used in this work was manufactured by Myland Laboratories Limited India. Other ingredients in the LPV/r tablet include colloidal silicon dioxide, copovidone, sodium stearyl fumarate and sorbitan monolaurate. Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim (SMX/TMP) used in this study was manufactured by CSPC Ouyi Pharmaceuticals China. Other ingredients in SMX/TMP tablets include docusate sodium, magnesium stearate, maize starch, silica and sodium lauryl sulphate.

2.2. Animals

The animals used in this research work were obtained from the animal house of the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Madonna University, Elele, Rivers State. The animals were allowed free access to food and water ad libitum and were allowed to acclimatize for 14 days. Animals were handled according to Helsinki declaration on the handling and use of animals.

2.3. Dose Selection

11.2/2.3mg/kg of SMX-TMP and 11.4/2.9mg/kg of LPV/r were used in this study. Doses used are within the clinically recommended dose range [9,10].

2.4. Preparation of Drug

Lopinavir/ritonavir tablets were crushed and dissolved in 1% ethanol while Sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim tablets were crushed and dissolved in sterile water [11].

2.5. Grouping of Animals

Seventy five healthy male rats were weighed and housed in a large mesh cage. The rats were divided into five groups A B C D and E.

2.6. Drug Administration

Group A: This served as the control and contained fifteen animals which were treated with 1% ethanol orally throughout the duration of study.

Group B: This group contained 15 animals which were further divided into three subgroups (B1-B3) of 5 animals each. Animals in sub group B1 were treated with 11.2/2.3mg/kg of SMX/TMP. Animals in subgroup B2 were treated with 11.4/2.9mg/kg of LPV/r. Animals in subgroup B3 were treated with combined doses of SMX/TMP + LPV/r. All animals in this group were treated for 2 weeks

Group C: This group contained 15 animals which were further divided into three subgroups (C1-C3) of 5 animals each. Animals in sub group C1 were treated with 11.2/2.3mg/kg of SMX/TMP. Animals in subgroup C2 were treated with 11.4/2.9mg/kg of LPV/r. Animals in C3 were treated with combined doses of SMX/TMP + LPV/r. All animals in this group were treated for 4 weeks

Group D: This group contained 15 rats which were further divided into three subgroups (D1-D3) of 5 animals each. Animals in sub group D1 were treated with 11.2/2.3mg/kg of SMX/TMP. Animals in subgroup D2 were treated with 11.4/2.9mg/kg of LPV/r. Animals in D3 were treated with combined doses of SMX/TMP + LPV/r. All animals in this group were treated for 6 weeks.

Group E: This group contained 15 animals which were further divided into three subgroups (E1-E3) of 5 animals each. Animals in sub group E1 were treated with 11.2/2.3mg/kg of SMX/TMP. Animals in subgroup E2 were treated with 11.4/2.9mg/kg of LPV/r. Animals in E3 were treated with combined doses of SMX/TMP + LPV/r. All animals in this group were treated for 8 weeks

2.7. Collection of Sample for Analysis

Animals were sacrificed using chloroform anesthesia at the end of 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks of treatment respectively. Blood sample was collected from the common carotid artery. The sample was allowed to clot and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5mins using Uniscope centrifuge and serum separated for analysis. Rats were dissected liver was collected, weighed and analyzed for histopathological changes.

2.8. Preparation of Tissue Homogenate

The liver tissues were homogenized using 0.1% Triton X-100 buffer (pH 7.4). The homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm & at 4°C for 30 min and the supernatant was used as sample for biochemical investigations [12]

2.9. Evaluation of Liver Malondialdehyde and Superoxide Dismutase

The liver malondialdehyde (MDA) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were determined according to Draper and Hadley [13], Beauchamp and Fridovic [14], respectively.
2.10. Evaluation of Serum Liver Function Parameters

Estimation of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) activities and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) activities were done using Reitman-Frankel method [15]. Estimation of alkaline phosphatase was performed using King and King Method [16]. Total bilirubin was determined using Malloy and Evelyn method [17].

2.11. Histopathological Evaluation

For light microscopic examination, liver tissues from each group were fixed with 10% buffered formalin. The specimens were dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections of 5 cm in thickness were prepared and stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin [18] and then examined under light microscopy. The photomicrographs of the relevant stained sections were taken with the aid of a light microscope.

2.12. Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Statistical analysis was done with the aid of SPSS for windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Standard version 14.0 to determine difference between mean using One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

3. Results

3.1. Effects on Liver Weight, AST, ALT, and ALP

Table 1. Effect of lopinavir/ritonavir, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and co-administered lopinavir/ritonavir + sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim on liver weight (gram) in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose mg/kg</th>
<th>Week2</th>
<th>Week4</th>
<th>Week6</th>
<th>Week8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.73±0.13</td>
<td>2.81±0.24</td>
<td>2.63±0.15</td>
<td>2.75±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP</td>
<td>2.58±0.24</td>
<td>2.92±0.53</td>
<td>2.76±0.14</td>
<td>2.74±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>2.52±0.20</td>
<td>2.20±0.15</td>
<td>2.87±0.02</td>
<td>2.69±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP+LPV/r</td>
<td>2.35±0.71</td>
<td>2.17±0.03</td>
<td>2.41±0.28</td>
<td>2.61±0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, the superscript (*) means significant difference with respect to the control at p<0.05(ANOVA).

Table 2. Effect of lopinavir/ritonavir, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and co-administered lopinavir/ritonavir + sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim on serum AST (U/L) in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose mg/kg</th>
<th>Week2</th>
<th>Week4</th>
<th>Week6</th>
<th>Week8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20.1±4.12</td>
<td>21.2±2.35</td>
<td>22.0±0.47</td>
<td>22.4±3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP</td>
<td>20.5±2.29</td>
<td>23.0±3.14</td>
<td>31.0±1.41</td>
<td>35.5±1.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>21.0±1.14</td>
<td>24.2±2.48</td>
<td>35.0±2.85</td>
<td>37.7±1.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP+LPV/r</td>
<td>22.5±0.48</td>
<td>25.5±1.15</td>
<td>40.5±0.65*</td>
<td>44.0±3.71*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, the superscript (*) means significant difference with respect to the control at p<0.05(ANOVA).

Table 3. Effect of lopinavir/ritonavir, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and co-administered lopinavir/ritonavir + sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim on serum ALT (U/L) in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose mg/kg</th>
<th>Week2</th>
<th>Week4</th>
<th>Week6</th>
<th>Week8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>19.2±2.73</td>
<td>21.2±3.41</td>
<td>21.4±1.85</td>
<td>21.6±3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP</td>
<td>21.2±2.75</td>
<td>23.0±3.41</td>
<td>31.2±3.48*</td>
<td>33.5±1.71*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>21.2±1.63</td>
<td>25.0±0.91</td>
<td>34.7±1.44*</td>
<td>37.5±1.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP+LPV/r</td>
<td>23.0±1.29</td>
<td>35.7±1.75*</td>
<td>40.7±1.03*</td>
<td>42.7±0.48*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, the superscript (*) means significant difference with respect to the control at p<0.05(ANOVA).

Table 4. Effect of lopinavir/ritonavir, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and co-administered lopinavir/ritonavir + sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim on serum ALP (U/L) in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose mg/kg</th>
<th>Week2</th>
<th>Week4</th>
<th>Week6</th>
<th>Week8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>22.3±0.05</td>
<td>23.5±1.23</td>
<td>23.9±1.26</td>
<td>24.2±3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP</td>
<td>24.0±2.15</td>
<td>25.3±0.23</td>
<td>41.0±2.17*</td>
<td>42.5±1.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>26.0±3.16</td>
<td>28.8±2.23</td>
<td>44.0±1.24*</td>
<td>45.5±3.16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP+LPV/r</td>
<td>28.0±3.04</td>
<td>29.5±2.26</td>
<td>67.8±1.24*</td>
<td>67.5±0.07*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, the superscript (*) means significant difference with respect to the control at p<0.05(ANOVA).

3.2. Effects on Malondialdehyde and Superoxide Dismutase

Treatment with SMX/TMP produced significant (p<0.05) time dependent increase in MDA level to 41.0±2.17 and 42.5±1.36 in week 6 and 8 respectively when compared with the control. Animals exposed to LPV/r also showed significant (p<0.05) increase in MDA level in week 6 and 8 with respect to the control. When (SMX/TMP+LPV/r) were co administered, significant (p<0.05) increase in MDA level to 67.8±1.24 and 67.5±0.07 in week 6 and 8 respectively was noted when
compared with the control. [Table 5] Exposure of animals to SMX/TMP produced an insignificant (p>0.05) decrease in SOD in week 2-8 with respect to the control. Animals treated with LPV/r produced significant (p<0.05) decrease in SOD level in week 8 with respect to the control. The combine doses of these agents (SMX/TMP+LPV/r) significantly (p<0.05) decreased SOD levels in week 8 with respect to the control [Table 6].

Table 6. Effect of lopinavir/ritonavir, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and co-administered lopinavir/ritonavir, + sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim on liver SOD (units/mg protein) in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose mg/kg</th>
<th>Week 2</th>
<th>Week 4</th>
<th>Week 6</th>
<th>Week 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>25.5±1.02</td>
<td>27.5±0.23</td>
<td>2.95±1.71</td>
<td>30.5±3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP (11.2/2.3)</td>
<td>29.3±0.15</td>
<td>29.3±2.17</td>
<td>27.0±2.07</td>
<td>28.5±2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r (11.4/2.9)</td>
<td>28.0±1.26</td>
<td>27.8±2.13</td>
<td>25.7±1.04*</td>
<td>17.5±0.16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX/TMP+LPV/r</td>
<td>26.4±1.04</td>
<td>24.5±2.16</td>
<td>24.4±2.16</td>
<td>16.5±0.07*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, the superscript (*) means significant difference with respect to the control at p<0.05(ANOVA).

3.3. Effect on Histopathology of the Liver

The histopathological effects of SMX, LPV/r and combined doses of SMX/TMP+LPV/r on the liver are shown in Figure 1-4. The liver of animals in control group treated with 1% ethanol shows normal histological structure of the central vein, portal area and normal platelets of hepatocytes as shown in Figure 1. Liver of animals treated with LPV/r (11.4/2.9mg/kg) for 8 weeks shows fairly preserved lobular architecture with normal plates of hepatocytes. There is vascular congestion with scanty inflammatory cells infiltration in the parenchyma [Figure 2].

Evaluation of the liver of animals treated with (11.2/2.3 mg/kg) of SMX/TMP for 8 weeks shows fairly preserved lobular architecture with normal plates of hepatocytes. Vivid observation reveals vascular congestion and scanty inflammatory cells infiltration in the parenchyma. [Figure 3] Liver of animals’ co administered with SMX/TMP + LVP/r shows more vascular congestion and high inflammatory cells infiltration in the parenchyma [Figure 4].
Figure 3. Photomicrograph of the H&E stained sections of the liver of rats treated with SMX/TMP (11.2/2.3 mg/kg) for 8 weeks showing fairly preserved lobular architecture with normal plates of hepatocytes. There is vascular congestion with scanty inflammatory cells infiltration in the parenchyma. (Mag. x 400)

Figure 4. Photomicrograph of the H&E stained sections of liver of rat treated with combined doses of SMX/TMP and LPV/r for 8 weeks showing fairly preserved lobular architecture with normal plates of hepatocytes. There is vascular congestion and inflammatory cells infiltration in the parenchyma. (Mag. x 400)

4. Discussion

Lopinavir boosted with ritonavir is a protease inhibitor used in combination with other antiretroviral drugs in the management of patients with HIV/AIDS [19]. SMX/TMP is used for prophylaxis and in the treatment of pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and other opportunistic infections in HIV/AIDS patients [20]. Due to HIV/AIDS associated co morbidity and co infections the concurrent use of antiretroviral agents and antibacterial agents is highly pronounced [21]. This may produce synergistic adverse events on body organs especially those involved in drug metabolism and excretion. This work evaluates the toxicological effects of co administered SMX/TMP and LPV/r on serum ALT, AST and ALP of albino rats. Liver SOD, MDA and histopathological changes were also evaluated.

In this work, observed increase in levels of ALT, AST and ALP in animals treated with SMX/TMP agrees with reported observations by some scholars [22,23,24]. Elevation in the levels of ALT, AST, and ALP in animals treated with LPV/r is consistent with some reported observations [25,26,27]. Insignificant increase in biomarkers of hepatic function observed in animals treated with combine dose of these agents (SMX/TMP + LVPr) shows lack of toxicological synergy. Reports have shown that elevations in serum levels of ALT, AST and ALP as seen in this study is a marker of hepatotoxicity [28,29]. The increase in serum levels of hepatic markers observed in this study could be attributed to liver injury, because these enzymes are placed in cytoplasmic area of the cell and are released into circulation in case of cellular damage [30,31].

Increase in MDA observed in LPV/r treated animals is consistent with reported observation by some scholars [32]. Gupta et al., 2013 also reported increased in MDA level in SMX/TMP treated animals which is in agreement with observation in this study [33]. Also insignificant increase observed when these agents were co administered could be attributed to lack of synergistic toxicological action by these agents. Decrease in SOD noted in this work agrees with the work of Reyskens et al. 2013 who reported impairments in SOD level in rats treated with lopinavir/ritonavir for 8 weeks [34]. Increased MDA and decrease in SOD as observed in this study is an important indicator of lipid per oxidation and induced oxidative...
stress which could be attributed to free radical generation by these agents [35,36,37].

SMX/TMP associated hepatoxicity is said to be a hypersensitivity reaction which could be triggered by three distinct processes: production of reactive metabolites, reactive oxygen species production, and binding of reactive metabolites to proteins/DNA, resulting in inflammation, cell damage, neo-antigen formation, and immune response [38]. This is supported by observed increase in malondialdehyde level and decrease in SOD level in this work.

Previous studies suggested that HIV PI–induced endoplasmic reticulum stress response and subsequent activation of unfolded protein response represent important cellular signaling mechanisms of HIV PI–induced metabolic syndromes and hepatotoxicity [39,40,41]. Endoplasmic reticulum stress has been associated with the production of reactive oxygen species which can cause increase in MDA level and decrease in SOD level as observed in this study.

The vascular congestions and inflammatory cells infiltration of the parenchyma observed in this study are degenerative changes which could lead to apoptotic cell death that can be characterized by series of morphological and biochemical changes [42,43]. Apoptotic cell death can be triggered in two path ways: by toxic chemicals or injury leading to damage of DNA, other important cellular targets, and activation or inactivation of receptors by growth-regulating signal factors in the organism [44]. This can be correlated with reported SMX/TMP and antiretroviral drugs induced DNA damage [45,46].

5. Conclusion

In this study concurrent treatment with SMX/TMP + LP/v/r produced no synergistic hepatotoxicity, hence these agents can be use concurrently in HIV/AIDS associated co infection and co morbidity.
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