Comparative Antimicrobial Effectiveness of Two Medicated Soaps with Herbal Soap from *Morinda morindoides* (Rubiaceae) against Skin Pathogens
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Abstract

In present study two medicated soaps namely Pharmaderm and Micoderme and herbal soap Morinda formulated with fat extracted from leaves of *Morinda morindoides* (Rubiaceae) were investigated for their antimicrobial activities against some clinical strains for skin diseases. The purpose of this study was to verify and compare their antimicrobial effectiveness. Agar broth dilution method with Sabouraud and Mueller-Hinton at serial concentrations of soaps ranging from 62.50 to 3.9 mg/ml and agar plate method were used to determine antimicrobial parameters. All strains tested were inhibited significantly (p < 0.05) by different types of soaps with minimal fungicidal concentration (MFC) ranging from 62.50 - 7.81 mg/ml and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) between 31.25 – 7.81 mg/ml. Soap Micoderme exhibited highest antimicrobial effectiveness with MFC varying from 7.81 to 31.25 mg/ml and MBC of 15.62 mg/ml. Soaps Morinda and Pharmaderm showed similar antibacterial activities with MBC of 31.25 mg/ml. Soap Morinda with MFC of 31.25 mg/ml was more effectiveness than soap Pharmaderm (MFC of 62.50 mg/ml) against all fungi. *T. mentagrophytes* was most sensitive fungi whereas *C. albicans* was least strain in presence of tested soaps. *S. aureus* was the most resistant among testing bacteria. This study demonstrated that hence buttressing the information written on two medicated soaps labels they possess antimicrobial activity and fat of *M. morindoides* incorporated at 10 % on basic soap formulation gave an effective antimicrobial power to soap Morinda. Further investigation was to clarify the phytochemical groups of herbal fat responsible for antimicrobial power of soap Morinda.
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1. Introduction

The rising failure of chemotherapeutics and antibiotic resistance exhibited by pathogenic microbial infectious agents has led to the screening of numerous medicinal plants for their biological and pharmacological properties by scientists [1]. Additionally, the less availability and high cost of new generation antibiotics implies looking for the substances from alternative medicines with claimed antimicrobial activity. A number of herbs with significant antimicrobial activity have been reported in different traditional literatures [2]. Traditional medicines are increasingly sought from tradipractitioners and herbalists in Cote d’Ivoire for the treatment of various diseases. Among the plants used, *Morinda morindoides* is well known in traditional medicine in Cote d’Ivoire and Democratic Republic of Congo for treatment of diarrhea and some parasitic diseases [3,4]. This plant has been subject of several research studies. Also different extracts of leaves of *Morinda morindoides* were showed some interesting biologicals activities [5,6,7,8]. In previous study, extracts of leaves of *M. morindoides* revealed *in vitro* antifungal and antibacterial properties [9,10]. In order to enhance results of previous research, our team is committed to development of plant derived products to prevent and treat effectively microbial skin infections. In this perspective, the soap Morinda was formulated by incorporating fat extracted from *Morinda morindoides* as antimicrobial agent in formula of basic soap. In present study two medicated soaps namely Pharmaderm and Micoderme were investigated for their antimicrobial activities compared with soap Morinda against against some clinical strains for skin diseases. The objective of this study consist to test *in vitro* antimicrobial activities of the two medicated soaps and soap Morinda against clinical microbial strains (*Candida albicans*, *Trichophyton rubrum*, *S. aureus*).
**Trichophyton mentagrophytes**, **Staphylococcus aureus** and **Pseudomonas aeruginosa** and to compare effectiveness of their active agents.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Collection of Medicated Soaps

Two brands of the most commonly used medicated soaps namely Pharmaderm and Micoderme were purchased from drug stores in Abidjan District (Cote d’Ivoire). The soap Pharmaderm (ref: 618100239850) manufactured by Nouvelle Parfumerie Gandour is composed of lauric acid, sodium chloride, coconut oil (*Cocos nucifera*), shea butter (*Butyrospermum parkii*), sulfide, salicylic acid, benzoic acid, menthol, camphor and perfume. According to the manufacturer, it is an antiseptic and antimicrobial soap that purifies the epidermis of all impurities. The soap Micoderme (ref: 5468800880) provided by J. K. Cosm. is composed of coconut oil (*Cocos nucifera*), shea butter (*Butyrospermum parkii*), soya oil (*Glycine max*), titanium oxide, sodium silicate, salicylic acid, vitamin E, vitamin F and perfume. According to the manufacturer, it protects the skin against various dermatophytes (dartses, moths), itching and effectively fights against pimples and spots.

#### 2.2. Herbal Soap from Vegetable Fat of *Morinda morindoides*

##### 2.2.1. Collection of Plant Material

Leaves of *M. morindoides* (Rubiaeaceae) were collected from Daloa (central west region of Cote d’Ivoire). The plant was identified and authenticated with voucher specimen no. 17710 in herbarium of National Floristique Center of University Felix Houphouët-Boigny (Cote d’Ivoire).

##### 2.2.2. Extraction of Vegetable Fat

Leaves of *M. morindoides* were cleaned of extraneous matter, air-dried at room temperature for 7 days and ground into a fine powder. For each extraction, 100 g of dry powdered plant material was extracted with 250 ml of hexane (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for 24 h using a Soxhlet extractor. Extract was filtered with Whatman filter paper no.1, and evaporated under vacuum in a rotary evaporator (Buchi) at 55°C. A greenish paste obtained with a yield of 9.24±0.18% is fat of *M. morindoides* [9].

##### 2.3. Preparation of Herbal Soap from Vegetable Fat of *Morinda morindoides*

The soap codified Morinda was obtained with the following method. Sodium hydroxide crystals (16.135 g) was dissolved in 59.58 ml of distilled water. The solution of sodium hydroxide obtained will be used after 24 hours. Before its use, 1.5 g of sodium chloride and 1.2 g of sodium bicarbonate were added to this solution. This mixture will constitute aqueous phase in production of soap. The fat mixture in preparation of soap consists of 50 g of coconut oil and 50 g of palm oil. To obtain basic soap, aqueous mixture was gradually added with stirring to fat mixture. The new mixture obtained was homogenized until a viscous mass (tracing) was formed. To obtain soap Morinda, 10 g of fat of *M. morindoides* was added to 90 g of soap mass obtained (basic soap) and then homogenized. Homogeneous mass obtained was poured into the molds. After 24 hours, herbal soap from fat of *M. morindoides* with greenish colored was obtained [11,12].

#### 2.4. Antimicrobial Assay

The antimicrobial activity of soaps was assessed by agar broth dilution method coupled with seeding on agar plate using Mueller-Hinton and Sabouraud agar respectively for bacteria and fungi. Each soap was incorporated into growth medium to give serial two fold dilutions with concentrations ranged from 62.50 to 3.90 mg/ml. A medium containing nutrient broth only seeded with the test organisms was served as control of growth. Five clinical isolate strains were tested for antimicrobial activity; one gram-positive bacteria: *S. aureus* (587/10), one gram-negative bacteria: *P. aeruginosa* (602/10) provided by bacteriological laboratory of Pasteur Institute of Cote d’Ivoire; one yeast: *C. albicans* (3076/PV) and two moulds: *T. rubrum* (14301/D) and *T. mentagrophytes* (13801/D) provided by mycology laboratory of medical sciences faculty of university Felix Houphouët-Boigny (Cote d’Ivoire). Biochemical characterization and identification of the test pathogens were carried out using standard identification manual. Fungi cultures were inoculated in growth medium and incubated for 2 to 5 days at 30±0.1°C while bacteria cell were inoculated and then incubated at 37±2°C for 18 hours [9,13,14]. All experiments were performed in triplicate. The activity was estimated by counting the colony of microorganisms. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined after counting the colony of microorganisms of each series. The total score of colony of the control tube was considered as 100%. The MIC is defined as the lowest concentration that produced no visible microbial growth after the incubation time. To determine the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) or the minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) for each set test tubes in the MIC determination, a loopful of broth was collected from those tubes which did not show any growth and inoculated on sterile nutrient agar by streaking. Plates inoculated with bacteria or fungi were then incubated respectively at 37±2°C for 24 hours and at 30±0.1°C for 2 to 5 days. After incubation the concentration at which no visible growth was seen was noted as MBC or MFC [13,14].

### 3. Results

The results of antimicrobial test showed that the pattern of inhibition varied significantly (p < 0.05) with the soaps concentrations (Table 1). According to the antimicrobial parameters (Table 2), soap Micoderme exhibited the highest antimicrobial effectiveness with MFC varying from 7.81 to 31.25 mg/ml and MBC of 15.62 mg/ml. The soaps Morinda and Pharmaderm showed similar antibacterial activities with MBC of 31.25 mg/ml. But, against fungi, soap Morinda with MFC of 31.25 mg/ml was more effectiveness than soap Pharmaderm with MFC of 62.50 mg/ml. *T. mentagrophytes* with MFC of 7.81
mg/ml was the most sensitive whereas *C. albicans* (62.50 mg/ml) and *T. rubrum* (31.25 mg/ml) were the least strains with respective MFC of 62.50 mg/ml and 31.25 mg/ml in presence of soap Micoderme. Whereas *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* with CMB of 15.62 mg/ml presented intermediate sensitivity against this soap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Soaps</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>3.90</th>
<th>7.81</th>
<th>15.62</th>
<th>31.25</th>
<th>62.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candida albicans</strong></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;PHA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>57±0.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31±0.7&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19±0.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6±0.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MIC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.6&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33±0.8&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8±0.1&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MOR&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75±0.4&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24±0.8&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichophyton rubrum</strong></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;PHA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.1&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40±0.4&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12±0.8&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4±0.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MIC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.6&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6±0.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MOR&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28±0.4&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3±0.8&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</strong></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;PHA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20±0.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9±0.6&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MIC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.5&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16±0.8&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MOR&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20±0.4&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2±0.8&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus</strong></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;PHA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.4&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60±0.8&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35±0.7&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8±0.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MIC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40±0.3&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5±0.6&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MOR&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31±0.4&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</strong></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;PHA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.3&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35±0.4&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16±0.6&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5±0.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MIC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.1&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13±0.2&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S</em>&lt;sub&gt;MOR&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>100±0.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23±0.4&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9±0.7&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2±0.8&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
<td>0±0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*S*<sub>PHA</sub>: soap Pharmaderm; *S*<sub>MIC</sub>: soap Micoderme; *S*<sub>MOR</sub>: soap Morinda; Mean ± SEM (n = 3); Mean values with same superscript within a row do not differ significantly (p < 0.05).
Results of this study revealed that all of the assayed soaps have antimicrobial activity, through to varying degrees as indicated by inhibition of growth pattern of the strains. Soap Micoderme was found to be most effective against all the skin pathogens tested. *T. mentagrophytes* was the most sensitive whereas *C. albicans* and *T. rubrum* were the least strains in presence of soap Micoderm. Whereas *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* presented intermediate sensitivity against this soap. It was clearly seen from this study that hence buttressing the information written on the two medicated soaps labels they possess antimicrobial activity and the fat of *M. morindoides* incorporated at 10 % on basic soap formulation gave an effective antimicrobial power to soap Morinda. Also, soap Micoderme which ingredients were not recognized to possess antimicrobial properties was effective than soap Pharmaderm with sulfide as active compound. These variations of effectiveness of soaps tested is due to differences in the active antimicrobial ingredients and type of formulations used [15]. This finding corroborated with research work of Aminuddin et al. Soaps containing aqueous extract of leaf of *Senna alata* (L.) Roxb tested at concentration of 100 µg/ml by these authors was no active against *P. aeruginosa* ATCC-27853 and *S. aureus* ATCC-29213 [16]. They concluded that the lack of activity against bacteria tested was due to low concentration of extract of *Senna alata*. Antimicrobial activities of commercial medicated soaps (Crusader and Antigal) were evaluated by Obi against *S. aureus* [17]. Moroh JLA, Bahi C, Dje K, Loukou YG and Guede-Guina F, Study of the antibacterial activity of *Morinda morindoides* (Baker) Milne-Headread (Rubieaeae) acetatique extract (ACE) on in vitro growth of *Escherichia coli* strains, Bulletin Société Royale Sciences Liege, 77: 44-61, 2008.
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